UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

JASON C. FRITTON, MAREA GIBSON, BRIAN W. MOTZENBEEKER, DAWN DUFF, and CHRISTOPHER SHEARMAN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

TAYLOR CORPORATION, the BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF TAYLOR CORPORATION, the FIDUCIARY INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and JOHN DOES 1-30, Civil Action No. 22-cv-00415

Judge Jeffrey M. Bryan

Mag. Judge Tony N. Leung

Defendants.

DECLARATION OF DAVID A. GOODWIN IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND FOR AWARDS OF ATTORNEYS' FEES, EXPENSES AND CASE CONTRIBUTION AWARDS TO PLAINTIFFS

I, David A. Goodwin, hereby declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the

United States as follows:

1. I submit this declaration in support of (a) Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for

Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Certification of Settlement Class, and

Approval of Plan of Allocation; and b) Plaintiffs' Motion for an Award of Attorneys'

Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses, and Case Contribution Awards to Plaintiffs, in the

above-captioned action.

2. I am a member of the law firm of Gustafson Gluek PLLC and local counsel for Plaintiffs in the above-captioned class action lawsuit.

CASE 0:22-cv-00415-JMB-TNL Doc. 96 Filed 07/09/24 Page 2 of 4

3. The Court's Preliminary Approval Order conditionally appointed Edelson Lechtzin LLP and Capozzi Adler P.C. as co-lead counsel and Gustafson Gluek PLLC as Plaintiffs' local Counsel (collectively, "Class Counsel").

4. My law firm, Gustafson Gluek PLLC, specializes in class action litigation in federal and state courts throughout the United States. The qualifications and experience of Gustafson Gluek PLLC's attorneys are detailed in the Firm Resume attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

5. The proposed Settlement is fair and reasonable.

6. The hourly fees Gustafson Gluek typically charges for its attorneys ranges from \$550 to \$1,100 per hour, which are the same rates that we would charge hourly feepaying clients. The hourly fees Gustafson Gluek typically charges for its paralegals ranges from \$300 to \$375 per hour, which are the same rates that we would charge hourly feepaying clients

Timekeeper	Professional Status/Law School	Hours	Rates	Total Lodestar
Daniel C. Hedlund	Partner	17.50	\$1,100	\$19,250.00
David A. Goodwin	Partner	98.00	\$900	\$88,200.00
Anthony J. Stauber	Associate	3.00	\$550	\$1,650.00
Sarah A. Moen	Paralegal	1.75	\$375	\$ 656.25
Diana Jakubauskiene	Paralegal	51.50	\$350	\$18,025.00
Jamie L. Holzer	Paralegal	6.25	\$350	\$2,187.50
Danette K. Mundahl	Paralegal	1.50	\$300	\$450.00
Grand Total		179.50		\$130,418.75

<u>Reported Hours and Lodestar</u> <u>Inception through June 30, 2024</u>

7. Gustafson Gluek PLLC has also expended \$1,076.90 in necessary

expenses in the litigation of this matter as set forth in detail in the table below:

Expense Category	Amount Incurred	
Printing	\$53.60	
Electronic Research (Westlaw, PACER)	\$32.10	
Travel	\$11.00	
Process Servers, Court Costs/Filing Fees	\$947.00	
Postage, UPS, FedEx	\$33.20	
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$1,076.90	

<u>Expenses Incurred</u> <u>Inception through June 30, 2024</u>

8. The expenses that we seek to recover in class action cases are the same types of expenses that we charge hourly fee-paying clients. Class Counsel expects to incur certain additional costs in this case until the settlement proceeds are fully distributed to Class Members.

9. Class Counsel's work on this case has not ended. Class Counsel continues to expend additional hours – that are neither part of this request for fees, nor part of the lodestar figure cross-check calculations – performing work in connection with Settlement approval, including in connection with the final approval hearing, responding to Class member inquiries, and technical matters involving Settlement administration.

The foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Executed this 8th day of July 2024, in Minneapolis, Minnesota.

/s/ David A. Goodwin David A. Goodwin CASE 0:22-cv-00415-JMB-TNL Doc. 96-1 Filed 07/09/24 Page 1 of 84

EXHIBIT A



Firm Resume

<u>MINNEAPOLIS OFFICE</u> 120 South Sixth Street Suite 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402 <u>CALIFORNIA OFFICE</u> 600 W. Broadway Suite 3300 San Diego, CA 92101

p. 612-333-8844 www.gustafsongluek.com

Table of Contents

Firm Overview1
Leadership Positions2
Case Outcomes5
Antitrust5
Consumer Protection8
Data Breach9
Product Liability11
Intellectual Property & Patent Misuse12
Appellate Advocacy14
Practice Areas and Current Cases16
Antitrust
Consumer Protection20
Constitutional Litigation23
Data Breach25
Product Liability27
Pro Bono & Community
Our Professionals

Firm Overview

Gustafson Gluek PLLC is a 21-attorney law firm with a national practice specializing in complex litigation. The firm has offices in Minneapolis, Minnesota and San Diego, California. Gustafson Gluek attorneys seek to vindicate the rights of, and recover damages for, those harmed by unfair business practices, such as illegal price fixing, deceptive trade practices, and the distribution of unsafe medical devices, as well as enjoin companies from engaging in these types of practices in the future.

Founded in 2003, Gustafson Gluek's attorneys have consistently been recognized by their clients, peers, and courts across the country as leaders in their fields. They have been chosen to lead some of the largest and most complex multi-district litigations. Attorneys at Gustafson Gluek have received national and state-wide awards and honors and are routinely called upon by other leading firms to assist in taking on some of the largest companies and defense firms in the world. Gustafson Gluek was named number six in the Top 25 Lead Counsel in antitrust complaints filed from 2009 – 2022 in the 2022 Antitrust Annual Report produced by the University of San Francisco Law School and The Huntington National Bank. Gustafson Gluek was also listed as number sixteenth in the list of firms with the highest number of antitrust settlements and in the top 25 Lead Counsel in Class Recoveries. Finally, our firm had four antitrust class cases to obtain final approval of settlements in 2022.

Core values of Gustafson Gluek include supporting the community and promoting diversity in the legal profession. Its attorneys have held leadership positions and actively participate in numerous national, state and affinity legal organizations, including the Federal Bar Association, the Board for Fund for Legal Aid, Minnesota State Bar Association, the Infinity Project, Minnesota Women Lawyers, Minnesota Association of Black Lawyers, the Lavender Bar Association and American Antitrust Institute. Gustafson Gluek was instrumental in founding the Pro Se Project, a collaboration with the Minnesota District Court pairing indigent federal litigants with attorneys and Gustafson Gluek devotes hundreds of hours each year to pro bono service through the Pro Se Project and other organizations.

Leadership Positions

Gustafson Gluek's attorneys are frequently recognized by their peers and the courts as experienced and capable leaders and, as such, have been appointed to lead numerous complex litigations including the following:

Crowell v. FCA USA LLC (D. Del.) Interim Co-Lead Counsel

In re 3M Combat Arms Earplug Litig. (Minn.) Co-Lead Counsel

In re Bank of America Unauthorized Account Opening Litig. (W.D.N.C) Co-Lead Class Counsel

In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.) Co-Lead Counsel for Commercial and Institutional Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs

In re CenturyLink Residential Customer Billing Disputes Litig. (D. Minn.) Executive Committee Chair

In re Crop Inputs Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Mo.) Co-Lead Counsel

In re Dealer Management Systems Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.) Plaintiffs' Steering Committee

In Re: Deere & Company Repair Services Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.) Co-Lead Counsel

In re DPP Beef Litig. (D. Minn.) Co-Lead Counsel

In re DRAM Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal. and multiple state court actions) Co-Lead Counsel for Indirect Purchasers

In re Eyewear Antitrust Litig. (S.D.N.Y.) Co-Lead Counsel for the Putative Direct Purchaser Class

CASE 0:22-cv-00415-JMB-TNL Doc. 96-1 Filed 07/09/24 Page 6 of 84

In re Flash Memory Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.) Plaintiffs' Steering Committee

In re Google Digital Publisher Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.) Plaintiffs' Leadership Committee

In re Interior Molded Doors Indirect Purchaser Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Va.) Co-Lead Counsel

In re Medtronic, Inc. Implantable Defibrillators Products Liability Litig. (D. Minn.) Co-Lead Counsel

In re Medtronic, Inc. Sprint Fidelis Leads Products Liability Litig. (D. Minn.) Lead Counsel

In re Net Gain Data Breach Litig. (D. Minn.) Executive Committee

In re: Pacific Market International, LLC Stanley Tumbler Litig. (W.D. Wa. Interim Executive Committee

In re Pork Antitrust Litig. (D. Minn.) Co-Lead Counsel for Consumer Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs

In re Regents of the University of Minnesota Data Litig. (Minn.) Lead Counsel

In re Syngenta Litig. (Minn.) Co-Lead Class Counsel, Settlement Counsel

In re Vitamin C Antitrust Litig. (E.D.N.Y.) Co-Lead Counsel for Indirect Purchasers

Precision Assocs., Inc. v. Panalpina World Transport (Holding) Ltd. (E.D.N.Y.) Co-Lead Counsel

Powell Prescription Center v. Surescripts, LLC (N.D. III.) Lead Counsel Committee

Quaife, et al. v Brady Martz Data Securities Litig. (D.N.D.) Interim Co-Lead Counsel

St. Barnabas Hospital, Inc. et al. v. Lundbeck, Inc. et al. (D. Minn.) Interim Class Counsel

Vikram Bhatia, D.D.S., et al., v. 3M Company (D. Minn.) Co-Lead Counsel

Case Outcomes

Gustafson Gluek has recovered billions of dollars on behalf of its clients since its founding in 2003. Gustafson Gluek has helped vindicate the rights of, and recover damages for, those harmed by unfair business practices such as illegal price fixing, deceptive trade practices, and the distribution of unsafe or defective devices, as well as enjoin companies from engaging in these types of practices in the future. A list of representative cases the Firm previously litigated and the outcomes of those cases is set forth below.

Antitrust

In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Mich.)

Gustafson Gluek was an integral part of the team representing a class of indirect purchases of various automotive components. Plaintiffs alleged that the defendants engaged in a sprawling price fixing conspiracy to artificially increase the price of several different automobile components. Gustafson Gluek helped recover over \$1.2 billion for the class.

In Re Blue Cross Blue Shield Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Ala.)

Gustafson Gluek was appointed as a member of the Damages and Litigation Committees representing a class of subscribers of Blue Cross Blue Shield insurance in multiple states. Plaintiffs alleged the defendants entered into a de facto price allocation agreement via the "licensing" agreements for use of the Blue Cross Blue Shield name and trademarks. The parties reached a settlement that established a \$2.67 billion Settlement Fund. Settling Defendants also agreed to make changes in the way they do business that Plaintiffs believe will increase the opportunities for competition in the market for health insurance.

In re Capacitors Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)

Gustafson Gluek represented a class of indirect purchasers of electrolytic or film capacitors. Plaintiffs alleged that at least fifteen multinational corporations

conspired to fix the prices of capacitors that they manufactured and sold worldwide and into the United States. Gustafson Gluek attorneys worked closely with Lead Counsel throughout the litigation, which eventually recovered \$84.49 million for the class.

In re Containerboard Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)

Gustafson Gluek represented a class of direct purchasers of containerboard products and was a defendant team leader. Plaintiffs alleged that defendant containerboard manufacturers conspired to fix the price of containerboard. As a team leader, Gustafson Gluek handled all aspects of discovery, including the depositions of several senior executives. Gustafson Gluek helped to secure over \$376 million for the class.

In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)

Gustafson Gluek represented a class of direct purchasers of CRT screens used for computer monitors and televisions. Plaintiffs alleged that defendants conspired to fix the price of these products in violation of the antitrust laws. Gustafson Gluek had a significant discovery role in the prosecution of this antitrust class action, which resulted in settlements totaling \$225 million for the class.

In re DRAM Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal. and multiple state court actions)

Gustafson Gluek was appointed Co-Lead Counsel for the indirect purchasers in this nationwide class action against both national and international memorychip manufacturers. This case dealt with the conspiracy surrounding the pricing of the memory chips commonly known as Dynamic Random Access Memory (or DRAM). DRAM is used in thousands of devices on a daily basis, and Gustafson Gluek was integral in achieving a settlement of \$310 million for the class.

In re Domestic Drywall Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Pa.)

Gustafson Gluek represented a class of direct purchasers of drywall in this antitrust case. Plaintiffs alleged that the defendant manufacturers conspired to

artificially increase the price of drywall. Gustafson Gluek played an active role in the litigation. A class was certified, and Gustafson Gluek helped recover over \$190 million for the class.

In re Lithium Ion Batteries Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)

Gustafson Gluek represented a class of direct purchasers of lithium ion batteries in a multidistrict class action. Plaintiffs alleged collusive activity by the world's largest manufacturers of lithium ion batteries, which are used in everything from cellular phones to cameras, laptops and tablet computers. Gustafson Gluek had a significant discovery role in the prosecution of this antitrust class and helped recover over \$139 million for the class.

In re Interior Molded Doors Indirect Purchaser Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Va.)

Gustafson Gluek served as Co-Lead Counsel with two other firms representing a class of indirect purchasers of interior molded doors. Plaintiffs alleged that two of the country's largest interior molded door manufacturers conspired to inflate prices in the market. Defendants settled with the class for \$19.5 million.

Precision Associates, Inc., et al. v. Panalpina World Transport (Holding) Ltd., et al. (E.D.N.Y.)

Gustafson Gluek was Co-Lead Counsel representing a class of direct purchasers of freight forwarding services in this international case against 68 defendants. Plaintiffs alleged that defendants engaged in an international conspiracy to fix, inflate, and maintain various charges and surcharges for freight forwarding services in violation of U.S. antitrust laws. Gustafson Gluek worked to secure over \$450 million for the class.

In re Resistors Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)

Gustafson Gluek worked closely with Lead Counsel representing indirect purchasers of linear resistors. Plaintiffs alleged that the defendant manufacturers conspired to increase the price of linear resistors, thereby causing indirect purchasers to pay more. After engaging in extensive discovery, Plaintiffs recovered a total of \$33.4 million in settlements for the indirect purchaser class.

In re TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)

Gustafson Gluek served an integral role handling complex discovery issues in this antitrust action representing individuals and entities that purchased LCD panels at supracompetitive prices. Gustafson Gluek attorneys worked on a range of domestic and foreign discovery matters in prosecuting this case. The total settlement amount with all of the defendants was over \$1.1 billion.

The Shane Group, Inc., et al. v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan (E.D. Mich.)

Gustafson Gluek was appointed interim Co-Lead Counsel representing a class of purchasers of hospital healthcare services. Plaintiffs alleged that defendant Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan used its market position to negotiate contracts with hospitals that impeded competition and increased prices for patients. Gustafson Gluek worked to secure \$29.9 million on behalf of the class.

Consumer Protection

Baldwin et al. v. Miracle Ear et al. (D. Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek represented consumers who received unwanted telemarketing calls from HearingPro for sale of Miracle Ear brand hearing aid products in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act. Gustafson Gluek played an important role in recovering an \$8 million settlement for the class.

Syngenta Corn Seed Litig. (Minn. & D. Kan.)

Gustafson Gluek was appointed Co-Lead Counsel for the class of Minnesotacorn farmers suing Syngenta for negligently marketing its Agrisure/Viptera cornseed before it had been approved in all of the major corn markets. GustafsonGluek was an integral part of the litigation team in Minnesota, participating in allgustafsongluek.comGustafson Gluek PLLC8 | Page

facets of discovery, motion practice and expert work. Dan Gustafson was one of the lead trial counsel and was also appointed as part of the settlement team. Ultimately, these cases settled for \$1.51 billion on behalf of all corn farmers in America.

In re Centurylink Sales Practices and Securities Litig. (D. Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek was Chair of the Executive Committee and represented a class of current and former CenturyLink customers who paid too much for their phone, internet or television services due to CenturyLink's unlawful conduct. Plaintiffs alleged that CenturyLink engaged in deceptive marketing, sales, and billing practices across dozens of states. Ultimately, Plaintiffs recovered \$18.5 million in settlements for the class.

Yarrington, et al. v. Solvay Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (D. Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek represented a class of individuals alleging unfair competition and false and deceptive advertising claims against Solvay Pharmaceuticals in the marketing of Estratest and Estratest HS, prescription hormone therapy drugs. Gustafson Gluek helped recover \$16.5 million for the class.

Data Breach

In re Equifax Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litig. (N.D. Ga.)

Gustafson Gluek represented a class of individuals whose personal information was impacted as the result of Equifax's deficient data security practices. Plaintiffs reached a settlement where Equifax agreed to pay \$380 million towards the fund for class benefits and an additional \$125 million for out-ofpocket losses in addition to credit monitoring and identity restoration services.

Landwehr v. AOL Inc. (E.D. Va.)

Gustafson Gluek served as class counsel in this lawsuit, alleging that AOL made available for download to its members' search history data, which violated

these AOL members' right to privacy under the Federal Electronic Communications Privacy Act. Plaintiffs reached a settlement with AOL that made \$5 million available to pay the claims of class members whose search data was made available for download by AOL.

The Home Depot, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litig. (N.D. Ga.)

Gustafson Gluek represented credit unions and a class of financial institutions whose card members' payment data was compromised as the result of Home Depot's deficient data security practices. These financial institutions lost time and money responding to the data breach. Plaintiffs reached a settlement agreement with Home Depot for \$27.25 million for the class members.

Greater Chautauqua Federal Credit Union v. Kmart Corporation (N.D. III.)

Gustafson Gluek served on the court-appointed Plaintiffs' Steering Committee representing a class of financial institutions whose card members' payment data was compromised as a result of Kmart's deficient data security practices. These financial institutions lost time and money responding to the data breach. Plaintiffs reached a \$5.2 million settlement with K-Mart for the class.

Experian Data Breach Litig. (C.D. Cal.)

Gustafson Gluek represented a class of consumers whose personally identifiable information, including Social Security numbers and other highly sensitive personal data, was compromised as the result of Experian's deficient data security practices. Many of these consumers lost time and money responding to the data breach, and they face an ongoing risk of identity theft, identity fraud, or other harm. Plaintiffs reached a \$22 million settlement and as a part of the settlement, defendants also agreed and have begun undertaking certain remedial measures and enhanced security measures, which they will continue to implement, valued at over \$11.7 million.

Product Liability

In re 3M Combat Arms Earplugs (Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek served as co-lead counsel for individuals seeking damages for hearing loss and other-related injuries due to the use of defective earplugs manufactured by 3M. Ultimately, Gustafson Gluek helped recover over \$6 billion for over 250,000 individuals who had been injured by this product.

Bhatia v. 3M Co. (D. Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek represented a class of dentists who bought 3M Lava Ultimate Restorative material for use in dental crowns. Gustafson Gluek was appointed as Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs, who alleged that the 3M Lava material failed at an unprecedented rate, leading to substantial loss of time and money for the dentists and injury to the patients. Gustafson Gluek helped secure a settlement of approximately \$32.5 million for all of the dentists who had suffered damages from the failure of this product.

Medtronic, Inc., Sprint Fidelis Leads Products Liability Litig. (D. Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek was Lead Counsel representing Plaintiffs, who had Medtronic's Sprint Fidelis Leads implanted in them. Plaintiffs alleged that Medtronic's Sprint Fidelis Leads contained serious defects that cause the leads to fracture, resulting in unnecessary shocks. Ultimately, these cases settled for over \$200 million on behalf of thousands of injured claimants who participated in the settlement. The settlement included a seven-year claim period in which individuals who were registered to participate in the settlement could make a claim if their device failed or was removed within that time period for reasons related to the alleged defect.

Medtronic, Inc. Implantable Defibrillators Products Liability Litig. (D. Minn.) Gustafson Gluek was appointed Co-Lead Counsel in this MDL representing individuals, who were implanted with certain implantable defibrillators

gustafsongluek.com Gustafson Gluek PLLC 11 | Page

manufactured by Medtronic, Inc. Plaintiffs alleged that these certain Medtronic's implantable cardioverter defibrillators (ICDs), and cardiac resynchronization therapy defibrillators (CRT-Ds) contained serious battery defects, which resulted in a recall of the products at issue. Plaintiffs alleged that Medtronic, Inc. knew about this defect, intentionally withheld important information from the FDA and the public and continued to sell the devices for implantation into patients facing life-threatening heart conditions. Gustafson Gluek, in its role as Co-Lead Counsel, helped secure a settlement of approximately \$100 million dollars for claimants who participated in the settlement.

Intellectual Property & Patent Misuse

Augmentin Litig. (E.D. Va.)

Gustafson Gluek represented a class of direct purchasers of the pharmaceutical drug, Augmentin. Plaintiffs alleged that defendant GlaxoSmithKline violated the antitrust laws by unlawfully maintaining its monopoly over Augmentin and preventing the entry of generic equivalents. Gustafson Gluek helped recover \$62.5 million for the class.

Dryer, et al., v. National Football League (D. Minn.)

The U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota appointed Gustafson Gluek Lead Settlement Counsel in Dryer v. NFL. In that capacity, Gustafson Gluek represented a class of retired NFL players in protecting their rights to the use of their likenesses in marketing and advertising. Gustafson Gluek helped secure a settlement with the NFL that created unprecedented avenues of revenue generation for the class.

In re Restasis (Cyclosporine Opthalmic Emulsion) Antitrust Litig. (E.D.N.Y.)

Gustafson Gluek represented a proposed class of End-Payor Plaintiffs in thisantitrust class action. Plaintiffs alleged that defendant Allergan engaged in amultifaceted conspiracy to delay generic competition for its brand-name druggustafsongluek.comGustafson Gluek PLLC12 | Page

Restasis. Gustafson Gluek helped recover \$30 million for the class.

Spine Solutions, Inc., et al. v. Medtronic Sofamore Danek, Inc., et al. (W.D. Tenn.)

Gustafson Gluek was one of the counsel representing the plaintiff, Spine Solutions, Inc. and Synthes Spine So., L.P.P., in a patent litigation against Medtronic Safamor Danek, Inc. and Medtronic Sofamor Donek, USA. The patent at issue in that case involved technology relating to spinal disc implants. This case went to trial in November 2008 and a jury verdict was returned in favor of our clients. The jury found willful infringements and awarded both lost profits and reasonable royalty damages to our clients.

In re Wellbutrin SR Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Pa.)

Gustafson Gluek played an integral role in this pharmaceutical class action. The firm represented direct purchasers of Wellbutrin SR, who alleged that defendant GlaxoSmithKline defrauded the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and filed sham lawsuits against its competitors, which delayed the availability of the generic version of Wellbutrin SR to consumers. As a result of this delay, Plaintiffs alleged that they paid more for Wellbutrin SR than they would have if the generic version had been available to them. Gustafson Gluek was actively involved in the investigation, discovery, motion practice, and trial preparation for this case and served an essential role in the mediation that resulted in a \$49 million settlement to the direct purchasers.

APPELLATE ADVOCACY

Gustafson Gluek has experienced, seasoned appellate advocates who can assist in getting the right result. Because Gustafson Gluek attorneys have tried complex cases to jury and bench verdicts, they understand how important the trial court is to a successful appeal.

Gustafson Gluek's appellate attorneys draw from many years of experience practicing before courts at every level of the state and federal system. They have successfully briefed and argued a variety of complex class and non-class cases and been called upon by peers to assist in the appellate process for their clients as well. In addition, they have frequently written briefs and appeared as amicus curiae (friend of the court) on behalf of several professional organizations.

Gustafson Gluek appellate attorneys are admitted to practice in the following appellate courts:

- First Circuit Court of Appeals
- Third Circuit Court of Appeals
- Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals
- Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals
- Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals
- Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals
- Minnesota State Court of Appeals
- Minnesota Supreme Court
- United States Supreme Court

The following is a representative list of cases in which Gustafson Gluek attorneys argued before the Eighth Circuit include:

- Graves, et al v. 3M Company
- Bryant, et al. v. Medtronic, Inc., et al.
- Dryer, et al. v. National Football League
- Graves v. 3M Company
- Haddock v. LG Electronics USA, Inc.
- Rick, et al. v. Wyeth, Inc., et al.
- Karsjens, et al. v. Piper, et al.
- LaBrier v. State Farm Fire and Casualty Co.
- MN Senior Foundation, et al. v. United States, et al.
- Larson v. Ferrellgas Partners
- Smith v. Fairview Ridges Hospital
- Song v. Champion Pet Foods USA, Inc.
- Beaulieu v. State of Minnesota

Practice Areas and Current Cases

Antitrust

Gustafson Gluek PLLC is devoted to the prosecution of antitrust violations. Gustafson Gluek attorneys have litigated antitrust cases in federal and state courts across the United States.

Federal and state antitrust laws are designed to protect and promote competition among businesses by prohibiting price fixing and other forms of anticompetitive conduct. Violations can range from straight forward agreements among competitors to raise prices above competitive prices to complicated schemes that affect relationships between different levels of a market.

Ongoing prosecution of these illegal schemes helps protect the average consumer from being forced to pay more than they should for everyday goods. Below are some representative antitrust cases that Gustafson Gluek is currently involved in:

Colon v. NCAA (E.D. CA)

Gustafson Gluek represents a potential class of Division I College Coaches who had been designated by the NCAA as "Volunteer Coaches" and not allowed to receive any wages or benefits for their service. Plaintiffs allege that the NCAA actively suppressed wages of these Division I Collegiate coaches in violation of the federal antitrust laws.

In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)

Gustafson Gluek is part of the Co-Lead counsel team for class of commercial indirect purchasers such as restaurants. The case alleges chicken suppliers colluded to artificially restrict the supply and raise the price of chicken in the United States. As part of the Co-Lead counsel team, Gustafson Gluek helped defeat several of the defendants' motions for summary judgment, succeeded in getting the class certified and prepared the case for trial. To date we have helped recover over \$100 million in settlements from seven defendants.

In re Crop Inputs Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Mo.)

Gustafson Gluek is Co-Lead counsel representing a class of farmers alleging that manufacturers, wholesalers and retailers conspired to artificially increase and fix the price of crop inputs (e.g., seeds, fertilizers, pesticides) used by farmers.

In re Deere & Company Repair Services Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)

Gustafson Gluek has been appointed as Co-Lead counsel on behalf of a proposed class of farmers who purchased repair services from John Deere. Plaintiff alleges Deere monopolized the market for repair and diagnostic services for its agricultural equipment in order to inflate the price of these services.

In re Dealer Management Systems Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)

Gustafson Gluek has been appointed as a member of the Steering Committee representing a class of car dealerships. Plaintiffs allege that defendants unlawfully entered into an agreement that reduced competition and increased prices in the market for Dealer Management Systems ("DMS") and data integration services related to DMS. Plaintiffs have reached a settlement with one defendant but continue to litigate against the remaining defendants.

In re Disposable Contact Lens Antitrust Litig. (M.D. Fla.)

Gustafson Gluek represents a class of individuals who purchased contact lenses made by Alcon, CooperVision, Bausch + Lomb, and Johnson & Johnson. Plaintiffs allege that these manufacturers unlawfully conspired to impose minimum resale price agreements on retailers, which restricts retailers' ability to lower prices to consumers. The class was certified, and Gustafson Gluek attorneys were members of the trial team. Ultimately the case settled with all the defendants and that settlement received final approval from the Court.

In re Domestic Airline Travel Antitrust Litig. (D.D.C.)

Gustafson Gluek is part of a team representing passengers of the airlines alleging antitrust violation against various airlines. The court denied defendants' motion to dismiss. Discovery has concluded and summary judgement motions have been submitted. There have been settlements with two of the defendants in this litigation to date.

In re DPP Beef Litig. (D. Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek has been appointed Co-Lead Counsel for a proposed class of direct purchasers of beef. Plaintiffs allege that Cargill JBS, Tyson and National Beef Packing Company conspired to fix and maintain the price of beef in violation of the federal antitrust laws resulting in supracompetitive prices for beef. This litigation is ongoing, but plaintiffs have reached a \$52.5 million settlement with one defendant.

In re Fragrance Indirect Purchaser Antitrust Litig. (D.N.J.)

Gustafson Gluek has been appointed Co-Lead Counsel for a proposed class of indirect purchasers of fragrances and fragrance ingredients. Plaintiffs allege that the world's largest fragrance manufacturers conspired to fix and maintain the price of fragrances and fragrance ingredients in violation of federal and state antitrust laws resulting in supracompetitive prices for plaintiffs and proposed class they seek to represent.

In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Pa.)

Gustafson Gluek represents a class of Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs and is part of a team of law firms alleging anti-competitive conduct by more than twenty generic drug manufacturers with respect to more than 100 generic drugs, including drugs used to treat common and serious health conditions such as diabetes and high blood pressure. Cases have been brought on behalf of several distinct groups of plaintiffs, including Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs, Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs, multiple individual plaintiffs, and the State AGs. There are currently more than a dozen separate cases related to various drugs, which

have been organized into three groups for the purposes of case management. The court has denied the motion to dismiss, and discovery is ongoing.

In re Google Digital Publisher Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)

Gustafson Gluek has been appointed to the Leadership Committee representing a class of publishers who sold digital advertising space via Google. Plaintiffs allege that Google's anticompetitive monopolistic practices led to digital publishers being paid less for their advertising space than they otherwise would have been paid in a competitive market.

In re Hard Disk Drive Suspension Assemblies Antitrust Litigation (ND Cal.)

Gustafson Gluek is representing the End User Purchaser plaintiffs who purchased products containing Hard Disk Drive ("HDD") Suspension Assemblies. Plaintiffs allege that manufacturers TDK, NHK, and their respective subsidiaries entered into a cartel agreement to fix prices of HDD suspension assemblies. Defendants' summary judge was denied and motion for class certification is pending. This litigation is ongoing.

In re Packaged Seafood Products Antitrust Litig. (S.D. Cal.)

Plaintiffs alleged that a cartel of the largest producers of tuna products in the United States conspired to fix and maintain prices of shelf-stable packaged tuna in violation of federal and state antitrust laws resulting in supracompetitive prices for plaintiffs and the proposed class. Gustafson Gluek represented plaintiffs and a class of end-payer plaintiffs who purchased packaged tuna products.

In re Pork Antitrust Litig. (D. Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek has been appointed Co-Lead counsel for a class of indirect purchasers of pork products. Plaintiffs allege that the Defendants violated the federal antitrust laws resulting in supracompetitive prices for pork. The Class was certified and there have been settlements reached with certain defendants for over \$90 million dollars. The litigation continues against the remaining defendants.

Powell Prescription Center, et al. v. Surescripts, LLC, et al. (N.D. III.)

Gustafson Gluek has been appointed Co-Lead Counsel for a proposed class of pharmacies alleging that defendants Surescripts, RelayHealth, and Allscripts Healthcare Solutions conspired to monopolize and restrain trade in the eprescription services market in violation of the antitrust laws. This litigation is ongoing, but plaintiffs have reached a \$10 million settlement with defendant RelayHealth.

Consumer Protection

Gustafson Gluek PLLC has led class action lawsuits on behalf of consumers alleging consumer protection violations or deceptive trade practices. These cases involve claims related to the false marketing of life insurance, defective hardware in consumer computers, misleading air compressor labeling, and rental car overcharges. Below are some representative cases involving consumer protection claims that Gustafson Gluek is currently litigating:

Broadway v. Kia America, Inc. (D. Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek represents proposed nationwide classes of people who purchased certain models of Kia and Hyundai automobiles that lack an engine immobilizer which makes those vehicles unsafe and prone to theft.

Crowell, et al., v. FCA USA LLC (D. Del.)

Gustafson Gluek serves as interim co-lead counsel in case representing individuals who purchased Jeep 4XE vehicles at a substantial premium only to find that the electric battery does not operate as advertised and does not allow the vehicle to drive in electric only mode. The vehicles will get locked out of the battery operation and require a trip to the dealership to repair them.

Gisairo, et al. v. Lenovo (United States) Inc. (D. Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek represents proposed classes of consumers who purchased various Lenovo laptop computers. These computers suffer from a common hinge failure that renders the products partially or completely useless.

In re: Nurture Baby Food Litig. (S.D.N.Y.)

Gustafson Gluek represents proposed nationwide classes of consumers that purchased HappyBaby or HappyTots baby food products. Plaintiffs allege that these baby foods were deceptively labeled, marketed, and sold because they contain undisclosed levels of heavy metals and contaminants.

In re: Pacific Market International, LLC, Stanley Tumbler Litig. (W.D. Wa.)

Gustafson Gluek serves on the interim executive committee representing a proposed class of individuals who purchased the popular Stanley line of mugs. Unbeknownst to those consumers, Stanley mugs are manufactured using toxic lead.

In re Plum Baby Food Litig. (N.D. Cal.)

Gustafson Gluek represents proposed nationwide classes of consumers who purchased Plum Organics baby food products. Plaintiffs allege that these baby foods were deceptively labeled, marketed, and sold because they contain undisclosed levels of heavy metals and contaminants.

In re Recalled Abbott Infant Formula Products Liability Litig. (N.D. III.)

Gustafson Gluek represents proposed nationwide classes of consumers that purchased infant formula products manufactured, marketed, and sold by Abbott. Plaintiffs allege that these baby formula products were deceptively labeled, marketed, and sold because they contain undisclosed levels of heavy metals and contaminants.

In re Theo's Dark Chocolate Litig. (N.D. Cal.)

Gustafson Gluek represents proposed nationwide classes of consumers that purchased Trader Joe's dark chocolate products. Plaintiffs allege that these dark chocolate products were deceptively labeled, marketed, and sold because they contain undisclosed levels of heavy metals and contaminants.

In re Trader Joe's Co. Dark Chocolate Litig. (S.D. Cal.)

Gustafson Gluek represents proposed nationwide classes of consumers that purchased Trader Joe's dark chocolate products. Plaintiffs allege that these dark chocolate products were deceptively labeled, marketed, and sold because they contain undisclosed levels of heavy metals and contaminants.

Kevin Brnich Electric LLC, et al. v. Siemens Industry, Inc. (N.D. Ga.)

Gustafson Gluek represents a proposed class of electricians and consumers who purchased Siemens Ground Fault Circuit Interrupter products. These products are prone to premature nuisance faulting.

Krohn v. Pacific Market International, LLC (W.D. Wa.)

Gustafson Gluek represents a proposed class of individuals who purchased the popular Stanley line of mugs. Unbeknownst to those consumers, Stanley mugs are manufactured using toxic lead.

Thelen, et al, v HP Inc. (D. Del.)

Gustafson Gluek represents proposed classes of consumer who purchased various HP laptop computers. These computers suffer from a common hinge defect that renders the products partially or completely useless.

CONSTITUTIONAL LITIGATION

Gustafson Gluek is devoted to the protection of the constitutional liberties of all individuals. The Firm has litigated several cases at the federal court level on matters involving civil commitment, police brutality, prisoner mistreatment and government misuse of private property. Below are some representative cases involving constitutional claims that Gustafson Gluek is currently litigating or has recently litigated:

Doe v. Hanson et al. (Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek represents a former juvenile resident of Minnesota Correctional Facility – Red Wing who alleges he was sexually assaulted by a staff member over the course of several years. Despite alleged knowledge of the risk of the abuse to the juvenile, the Correctional Facility did nothing to protect the juvenile. A settlement was reached in 2021, which included significant financial compensation for the victim, required additional training for the MCF-Red Wing staff, and 3 policy changes at MCF-Red Wing.

Carr v. City of Robbinsdale (Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek represented an individual whose car was seized by the Robbinsdale police. The client was a passenger in her car, when the driver was pulled over and arrested for driving under the influence. The officer seized the car pursuant to Minnesota's civil forfeiture statute. Gustafson Gluek filed a complaint challenging the constitutionality of the Minnesota civil forfeiture laws. However, prior to any meaningful litigation, the parties were able to settle the case.

Khottavongsa v. City of Brooklyn Center (D. Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek represented the family of a man killed by Brooklyn Center police in 2015. Gustafson Gluek brought section 1983 claims, alleging the officers used excessive force and ignored his medical needs, and that the City of Brooklyn Center failed to train and supervise the officers. Defendant's motion for summary judgment was largely defeated. The case settled prior to trial.

Hall v. State of Minnesota (Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek successfully litigated a case against the State of Minnesota regarding the State's Unclaimed Property Act. On behalf of plaintiffs, the Firm achieved a ruling that a portion of the State's Unclaimed Property Act was unconstitutional and, as a result, the statute was changed, and property returned to individuals.

Karsjens, et al. v. Jesson, et al. (D. Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek represents a class of Minnesota's civilly committed sex offenders on a pro bono basis through the Federal Bar Association's Pro Se Project. Gustafson Gluek has been litigating this case since 2012, alleging that Minnesota's civil commitment of sex offenders is unconstitutional and denies the due process rights of the class. After a six-week trial in February and March of 2015, Minnesota District Court Judge Donovan Frank found in favor of the class, ruling that the Minnesota Sex Offender Program (MSOP) is unconstitutional, and ordering that extensive changes be made to the program. That order was reversed on appeal. Gustafson Gluek continues to vigorously advocate for the class on the remaining claims and pursue a resolution that will provide constitutional protections to those civilly committed to the MSOP.

Jihad v. Fabian (D. Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek represented an individual bringing suit against the State of Minnesota, the Department of Corrections and others alleging violations of his religious rights relating to his incarcerations in the Minnesota Corrections Facility in Stillwater. Gustafson Gluek was able to secure a settlement for the plaintiff which involved a change in the Department of Corrections policy to provide plaintiff with halal-certified meals at the correction facilities.

Samaha, et al. v. City of Minneapolis, et al. (D. Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek is representing several peaceful protestors who were subject to

excessive force at the George Floyd protests in May 2020. While peacefully protesting, the plaintiffs were subjected to tear gas, pepper spray and other violence. The case sought declaratory and injunctive relief, including a judgment that the City of Minneapolis has a custom, policy and practice of encouraging and allowing excessive force.

Wolk v. City of Brooklyn Center, et al. (D. Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek is representing a peaceful protestor who was subject to excessive force at the Daunte Wright protests in April 2021. While peacefully protesting, the plaintiff was subjected to tear gas, pepper spray, and was shot by a less lethal munition. The case is on-going and seeks both damages and injunctive relief to change the policies of the law enforcement agencies that were involved.

DATA BREACH

Gustafson Gluek PLLC is actively involved in several major data breach cases across the country. Our attorneys work to protect and defend individuals' sensitive personally identifiable information and hold companies accountable when their online security measures fail to protect that valuable information. Our team works on all aspects of these fast-paced cases from investigating breaches, to litigating cases, to reaching favorable resolutions for our clients. As set forth below, attorneys at Gustafson Gluek serve in key leadership roles representing consumers in regional and national data breach cases.

In re 23AndMe, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litigation (N.D. Cal.)

Gustafson Gluek represents a proposed class of individuals whose sensitive personally identifiable genetic and health information was accessed by unauthorized persons. This case is in its early stages and has recently been consolidated in the Northern District of California.

In re AT&T, Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation (ND. Tex.)

Gustafson Gluek represents a proposed class of 73 million current and former AT&T customers whose sensitive personally identifiable information was accessed by unauthorized third parties.

Mackey v. UnitedHealth Group Inc. et al. (D. Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek represents a proposed class of millions of individuals who had their Personally Identifiable Information ("PII") accessed by unauthorized parties. That information was stored and controlled by Change Healthcare, Inc., a subsidiary of UnitedHealth Group that specializes in payment management services in the healthcare industry. This case is in the early stages of litigation.

Mekhail v. North Memorial Health Care (D. Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek serves as counsel representing a proposed class of individuals who had their personally identifiable information ("PII") tracked on North Memorial's website and shared with Meta/Facebook for impermissible marketing purposes in contravention to US Department of Health and Human Services guidelines.

In re Netgain Technology, LLC Consumer Data Breach Litigation (D. Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek serves on the Interim Executive Committee in this matter, where over 800,000 individuals had their sensitive personal information such as billing information, Social Security numbers, patient identifiers, and more were stolen by cyber criminals.

Okash v. Essentia Health (D. Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek serves as counsel representing a proposed class of individuals who had their personally identifiable information ("PII") tracked on North Memorial's website and shared with Meta/Facebook for impermissible marketing purposes in contravention to US Department of Health and Human Services guidelines.

Quaife v. Brady Martz & Associates PC (D. ND)

Gustafson Gluek has been appointed interim co-lead counsel in a case alleging that individuals had their personally identifiable information ("PII") accessed by unauthorized third parties. That information was controlled by Defendant Brady Martz & Associates, PC, which is a firm offering accounting, tax, and audit services. The information in question includes financial account numbers, debit/credit card numbers, security codes, passwords, and PINs.

Salinas, et al v. Block, Inc. et al (N.D. Cal.)

Gustafson Gluek represents a proposed class of millions of consumers whose financial records and information were accessed by unauthorized third parties. This case has reached a proposed settlement valued at \$15 million plus injunctive relief.

PRODUCT LIABILITY

Sometimes, consumers are injured by the products they purchase. Products liability is an area of law that seeks to hold manufacturers of products that have injured individuals responsible for the injuries their defective products caused.

These defective products range from medical devices to vehicles to diapers and many others. Gustafson Gluek PLLC represents consumers against the manufacturers of these defective products and has been able to achieve sizable recoveries on behalf of injured individuals. Below are some representative product liability cases that Gustafson Gluek is currently litigating:

In re FCA US LLC Monostable Electronic Gearshift Litig. (E.D. Mich.)

Gustafson Gluek serves on the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee and represents individuals who owned or leased 2012-2014 Dodge Chargers, 2014-2015 Chrysler 300s, and 2014-2015 Jeep Grand Cherokees. Plaintiffs allege that these vehicles contain defective gearshifts, which allow vehicles to roll away out of the park position. Issue classes have been conditionally certified.

Krautkramer et al., v. Yamaha Motor Corporation, U.S.A. (D. Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek represents a proposed class of individuals who own or lease a range of Yamaha off-road vehicles. Plaintiffs allege that these vehicles are subject to overheating and engine failure due to a defect in the vehicle engines.

Mackie et al v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc. et al. (D. Minn.)

Gustafson Gluek represents a proposed class of consumers who purchased or leased 2019-2021 Honda CR-V and Civic vehicles, and 2018-2021 Accord vehicles equipped with "Earth Dreams" 1.5L direct injection engines. Plaintiffs allege that these vehicles contain an engine defect which causes fuel contamination of the engine oil resulting in oil dilution, decreased oil viscosity, premature wear and ultimate failure of the engines, engine bearings, and other internal engine components, and an increased cost of maintenance.

Reynolds, et al., v. FCA US, LLC (E.D. Mich.)

Gustafson Gluek represents a proposed class of individuals who owned or leased 2018-2020 Jeep Wrangler and 2020 Jeep Gladiator vehicles. Plaintiffs allege that these vehicles contain a defective front axle suspension system that causes the steering wheel to shake violently while operating at highway speeds.

Rice v. Electrolux Home Prod., Inc. (M.D. Pa.); Gorczynski v. Electrolux Home Products, Inc. (D.N.J.)

Gustafson Gluek represents classes of individuals who own an Electrolux microwave with stainless-steel handles. Plaintiffs in these cases allege that these certain microwaves, which were sold to be placed over a cooktop surface, have stainless steel handles that can heat to unsafe temperatures when the cooktop below is in use.

Woronko v. General Motors, LLC (E.D. Mich.)

Gustafson Gluek represents a proposed class of individuals who owned or

leased 2015-2016 Chevrolet Colorado and GMC Canyon vehicles. Plaintiffs allege that these vehicles are equipped with a defective electrical connection that causes the vehicles to lose power steering while driving under a variety of conditions. This case is in the initial pleading stage.

Pro Bono & Community

Gustafson Gluek recognizes that those who provide legal services are in a unique position to assist others. The Firm and its members strongly believe in giving back to the community by providing legal services to those in need. The law can make an immense difference in an individual's life; however, effectively navigating the legal system is not an easy task. Providing pro bono legal services promotes access to justice, by giving counsel to those who otherwise would not have it.

In keeping with this commitment to providing representation to those who otherwise do not have access to representation, Dan Gustafson was one of four lawyers who helped develop and implement the Minnesota Pro Se Project for the Minnesota Chapter of the Federal Bar Association. Because the Federal Bar Association did not have funding for the project, Gustafson Gluek volunteered to administer the Project during its inaugural year, starting in May 2009, devoting extensive resources to matching pro se litigants with volunteer counsel. In 2010, Chief Judge Michael Davis of the District of Minnesota awarded Dan Gustafson a Distinguished Pro Bono Service Award for "rising to the Court's challenge of bringing the idea of the Pro Se Project to fruition and nurturing the Project into its current form." Gustafson Gluek has continued representing clients through the Pro Se Project since that time.

Gustafson Gluek Supports the Following Volunteer Organizations

- American Antitrust Institute
- The American Constitutional Society
- Association of Legal Administrators MN Chapter
- Children's Law Center
- Cookie Cart
- COSAL
- Division of Indian Work
- Domestic Abuse Project
- Federal Bar Association
- Federal Pro Se Project
- Great North Innocence Project
- Hennepin County Bar Association
- Innocence Project of MN
- Infinity Project
- Lawyers Concerned for Lawyers
- Minnesota Hispanic Bar Association
- Minnesota Paralegal Association
- Minnesota State Bar Association
- Minnesota Women Lawyers
- MN Chapter of the Federal Bar Association
- Page Education Foundation
- Southern MN Regional Legal Services
- The Fund For Mid-Minnesota Legal Aid
- Volunteer Lawyers Network
- Twin Cities Diversity In Practice

OUR PROFESSIONALS

DANIEL E. GUSTAFSON

Daniel E. Gustafson is a founding member of Gustafson Gluek PLLC. Mr. Gustafson has dedicated his career to helping individuals and small businesses litigate against large corporations for various antitrust, product defect or consumer fraud violations. He has also strived to use his legal skills to represent those who cannot otherwise afford a lawyer. Mr. Gustafson served as an appointed public defender in federal court, he was involved in helping develop the Federal Bar Association's *Pro Se* Project, which coordinates



volunteer representation for *pro* se litigants, and he has spent thousands of hours representing individuals on a pro bono basis.

In 2019, he was given a lifetime achievement award by the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, Minnesota Chapter of the Federal Bar Association and the Pro Se Project for his exemplary pro bono work and extraordinary support of the Pro Se Project.

Mr. Gustafson is admitted to practice in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, the United States District Court for the District of North Dakota, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, the United States Courts of Appeals for the First, Third, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth and Eleventh Circuits, the Minnesota Supreme Court and in the United States Supreme Court.

Mr. Gustafson was an adjunct professor at the University of Minnesota Law School for many years, teaching a seminar long course on the "Fundamentals of Pretrial Litigation."

Mr. Gustafson is a past president of the Federal Bar Association, Minnesota Chapter (2002-2003) and served in various capacities in the Federal Bar Association over the last several years. He was the Vice-Chair of the 2003 Eighth Circuit Judicial Conference held during July 2003 in Minneapolis (Judge Diana E. Murphy was the Chair of the Conference). He is a member of the Hennepin County, Minnesota, Federal, and American Bar Associations.

In September 2011, Mr. Gustafson testified before the House Committee on the Judiciary, Subcommittee on Intellectual Property, Competition and the Internet regarding the proposed merger between Express Scripts and Medco. Mr. Gustafson also testified before the United States Congressional Commission on Antitrust Modernization in June 2005. In addition to congressional testimonies, Mr. Gustafson has authored or presented numerous seminars and continuing legal education pieces on various topics related to class action litigation, antitrust, consumer protection or legal advocacy.

Mr. Gustafson served as a law clerk to the Honorable Diana E. Murphy, United States District Judge for the District of Minnesota (1989-91). Following his judicial clerkship, Mr. Gustafson worked in the fields of antitrust and consumer protection class action litigation. In May 2003, Mr. Gustafson formed Gustafson Gluek PLLC where he continues to practice antitrust and consumer protection class action law.

Mr. Gustafson has been actively involved in many cases, in which he, or the Firm, has been named Lead Counsel, Co-Lead Counsel, Co-Lead Trial Counsel, or Settlement Counsel, including:

- In re DPP Beef Antitrust Litig. (D. Minn.)
- In re Pork Antitrust Litig. (D. Minn.)
- 3M Earplugs Litig. (Minn.)
- In re Syngenta Litig. (Minn.)
- In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litig. (N. D. III.)

- In re Medtronic, Inc. Sprint Fidelis Liability Litig. (D. Minn.)
- Precision Assocs. Inc. v. Panalpina World Transport (Holding) Ltd. (E.D.N.Y)
- In re Medtronic, Inc. Implantable Defibrillators Liability Litig. (D. Minn.)
- In re Vitamin C Antitrust Litig. (E.D.N.Y.)
- In re DRAM Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- The Shane Group, Inc. v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan (E.D. Mich.)
- Karsjens v. Jesson (D. Minn.)
- Synthes USA, LLC v. Spinal Kinetics (N.D. Cal.)
- KBA-Giori, North America, Inc., v. Muhlbauer, Inc. (E.D. Va.)
- Spine Solutions, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc. (W.D. Tenn.)
- Dryer v. National Football League (D. Minn.)
- In re Asacol Antitrust Litig. (D. Mass.)
- In re Wellbutrin SR/Zyban Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Pa.)
- Reitman v. Champion Petfoods (C.D. Cal.)
- Weaver v. Champion Petfoods (E.D. Wis.)
- Rydman v. Champion Petfoods (W.D. Wash.)

Education:

- Juris Doctorate (1989)
 Oniversity of Minnesota Law School
- Bachelor of Arts (1986)
 Oniversity of North Dakota

Court Admissions:

- Minnesota Supreme Court
- U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota
- U.S. Court of Appeals for the First, Second, Third, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth, Tenth and Eleventh Circuits
- U.S. Supreme Court

Recognition:

- Selected by Minnesota Lawyer as Minnesota Icon Honoree (2023)
- Richard S. Arnold Award for Distinguished Service (2021)
- Pro Se Project Lifetime Achievement Award (2019)
- Selected by Super Lawyers as a Minnesota "Super Lawyer" (2001 2023)
- Selected by Minnesota Lawyer as Attorney of the Year (2010, 2013, 2017)
- Ranked in the "Top 100 Minnesota Lawyers" by Super Lawyer (2012-2023)
- MSBA North Star Lawyer (2012, 2013, 2015, 2018, 2020, 2023)

- American Antitrust Institute Meritorious Service Award (2014)
- Director of The Fund for Legal Aid Board (2014-2018)
- Infinity Project Board Member (2015)
- MWL President's Leadership Circle (2013-2014)
- UST School of Law Mentor (2014-2015)
- AAI Annual Private Enforcement Award and Conference Committee Member (2014-2016)

KARLA M. GLUEK

Karla M. Gluek is a founding member of Gustafson Gluek PLLC. Ms. Gluek has been practicing in the areas of antitrust and consumer protection class action litigation since 1995, following her clerkship to the Honorable Gary Larson, District Judge, Fourth Judicial District of Minnesota. Ms. Gluek has spent her career representing individuals and small businesses against large corporations for various antitrust, constitutional, product defect or consumer fraud violations.



In May 2003, Ms. Gluek joined Mr. Gustafson in forming Gustafson Gluek PLLC. In 2020, Ms. Gluek was elected as the Firm Manager for Gustafson Gluek, becoming the first woman to serve in that position at the Firm.

Throughout her law career, Ms. Gluek has also spent thousands of hours representing individuals on a pro bono basis as part of her commitment to justice for all. She has served as a volunteer attorney for the Minnesota Federal Bar Association's Federal Pro Se Project.

Ms. Gluek is admitted to practice in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota and the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals. She is a member of the Hennepin County, Minnesota, and Federal Bar Associations. Ms. Gluek is also an active member of the Minnesota Women's Lawyers. Ms. Gluek is a Board Member for the Fund for Mid-Minnesota Legal Aid.

She has been recognized several times as a North Star Lawyer for providing at least 50 hours of pro bono legal services in a calendar year to individuals with need. She has assisted in the representation of pro se litigants through the Federal Bar Association's Pro Se Project in addition to those referred to Gustafson Gluek by other sources. She was part of the team at Gustafson Gluek that represented a class of civilly committed sex offenders challenging the

gustafsongluek.com

CASE 0:22-cv-00415-JMB-TNL Doc. 96-1 Filed 07/09/24 Page 40 of 84

constitutionality of Minnesota's commitment statutes in Karsjens et al v. Jesson (D. Minn.).

Ms. Gluek has been designated as a Minnesota "Super Lawyer" from 2011-2023 and has twice been selected as one of *Minnesota Lawyer*'s Attorneys of the Year. Ms. Gluek was also named in the inaugural class of Top Women in Law by *Minnesota Lawyer* in 2023.

Ms. Gluek has worked on several cases in which Gustafson Gluek is or had been appointed to leadership positions or been actively involved including:

- 3M Company Earplugs Litig. (Minn.)
- In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litig. (N. D. III) In re Regents of the University of Minnesota Data Litig. (Minn.)
- In re Syngenta Litig. (Minn.)
- In re Medtronic, Inc. Sprint Fidelis Liability Litig. (D. Minn.)
- In re Medtronic, Inc. Implantable Defibrillators Liability Litig. (D. Minn.)
- Karsjens v. Jesson (D. Minn.)
- Synthes USA, LLC v. Spinal Kinetics (N.D. Cal.)
- KBA-Giori, North America, Inc., v. Muhlbauer, Inc. (E.D. Va.)
- Spine Solutions, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc. (W.D. Tenn.)
- Dryer v. National Football League (D. Minn.)
- In re Asacol Antitrust Litig. (D. Mass.)
- In re Wellbutrin SR/Zyban Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Pa.)
- Reitman v. Champion Petfoods (C.D. Cal.)
- Weaver v. Champion Petfoods (E.D. Wis.)
- Rydman v. Champion Petfoods (W.D. Wash.)

Additional Background Information

Education:

- Juris Doctor (JD)
 - o William Mitchell College of Law
 - cum laude, J.D. (1993)

Bachelor of Arts (BA)
 Oniversity of St. Thomas (1990)

Court Admissions:

- Minnesota Supreme Court
- U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota

Recognition:

- Selected by Super Lawyers as a Minnesota "Super Lawyer" (2011 2023)
- Selected by Minnesota Lawyer as an Attorney of the Year (2014, 2017)
- Selected by Minnesota Lawyer as a Top Women in Law (2023)
- MSBA North Star Lawyer (2012, 2013, 2015, 2018, 2020, 2021, 2023)

ABOU B. AMARA, JR.

Mr. Amara joined Gustafson Gluek PLLC as an associate in August 2021, after clerking for Associate Justice Anne K. McKeig and Associate Justice Paul C. Thissen of the Minnesota Supreme Court. As an associate at the Firm, Mr. Amara will be representing



individuals and small businesses alleging antitrust, consumer, civil rights, and constitutional, and financial securities violations in both state and federal court. Before clerking on the Minnesota Supreme Court, Mr. Amara was an associate attorney at a well-respected Minneapolis law firm.

During law school, Mr. Amara was a two-time National Moot Court individual champion—earning the "Best Oralist" award at both the 2018 William E. McGee National Moot Court Competition on Civil Rights and the 2019 Evan A. Evans National Moot Court Competition on Constitutional Law. Mr. Amara was also elected by his law school classmates to serve as commencement speaker.

Before law school, Mr. Amara had an extensive career in the legislative and political arena, including serving as a top aide to the Minnesota Speaker of the House, Minnesota Secretary of State, and frequent TV/radio commentator and analyst on Minnesota politics and public affairs.

In 2015, Mr. Amara was named to Twin Cities Business Magazine's "100 Minnesotans to Know" list for his impact in the public affairs arena and honored as a Minnesota "Shaper of the Future" by the publication. In 2021-2022, Mr. Amara was recognized as an Outstanding Newer Lawyer of the Year by the Minnesota State Bar Association, in 2022 he was named an "Up and Coming Lawyer" by Minnesota Lawyer Magazine, and just this year was listed as a 2024 "Top Lawyers in Minnesota" by Minnesota Monthly. Mr. Amara is the 2024-2025 Co-Chair of the Minnesota Chapter of the Federal Bar Association's Legal Education Committee and has previously served as Vice President and Treasurer of the Minnesota Association of Black Lawyers.

Mr. Amara has worked on several cases in which Gustafson Gluek is, or has been appointed to leadership positions or been actively involved, including:

- In re Bank of America Unauthorized Account Opening Litig. (W.D.N.C.) (Interim Co-Lead Counsel)
- Conry, et. al. v. Gerber Products Co. (E.D. Va.),
- In re Wells Fargo Mortgage Discrimination Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- Colon, et. al. v. National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) (E.D. Cal.)
- Michel Sharritt, et al. v. DuPage County (IL) Treasurer (N.D. III.)
- In re DPP Beef Litig. (D. Minn.)
- In re Pork Antitrust Litig. (D. Minn.)
- Roamingwood Sewer v. National Diversified Sales, Inc. (M.D. Pa.)
- Mortgage Refinancing (N.C. Cal.)

Additional Background Information

Education:

- Juris Doctor
 - o University of St. Thomas
- Master of Public Policy
 - o University of Minnesota
 - Hubert H. Humphrey School of Public Affairs
- Bachelor of Arts (BA)
 Oniversity of Wisconsin-Eau Claire

Court Admissions:

- Minnesota Supreme Court (Minn.)
- United States District Court for the District of Minnesota (D. Minn.)

Recognition:

• Named Outstanding New Lawyer of the Year by the Minnesota State Bar Association (2022)

- Selected by Minnesota Lawyers as an "Up and Coming Attorney of the Year" (2022)
- MSBA North Star Lawyer (2021, 2023)

AMANDA M. WILLIAMS

Amanda M. Williams is a member of Gustafson Gluek PLLC. Ms. Williams joined the Firm in 2005, following her clerkship with the Honorable Gordon W. Shumaker, Minnesota Court of Appeals. Since then, she has been actively litigating consumer protection, product liability, and antitrust class actions.



Ms. Williams is admitted to the Minnesota Bar and is admitted to practice in the United States District

Court for the District of Minnesota. Ms. Williams is an active member of Minnesota Women Lawyers and is former chair of the Law School Scholarship Committee. She currently serves on the Board of the Infinity project, which is an organization whose mission is to increase the gender diversity of the state and federal bench to ensure the quality of justice in the Eighth Circuit.

She serves as a volunteer attorney for the Minnesota Federal Bar Association's Federal *Pro Se* Project and is a recipient of the Minnesota chapter of the Federal Bar Association's 2011 Distinguished *Pro Bono* Service award.

Ms. Williams has been recognized as a "Rising Star" from 2014-2019 by Super Lawyers and was selected as one of Minnesota Lawyer's Attorneys of the Year in 2017. Ms. Williams was also designated as a Minnesota "Super Lawyer" by Super Lawyer in 2021.

Ms. Williams has worked on several cases in which Gustafson Gluek is or had been appointed to leadership positions or actively involved including:

- In re Regents of the University of Minnesota Data Litig. (Minn.)
- Colon v. NCAA (E.D. Cal.)
- In re Medtronic, Inc., Implantable Defibrillators Prod. Liab. Litig. (D. Minn.)

- In re Syngenta Litig. (Minn.)
- In re Asacol Antitrust Litig. (D. Mass.)
- Ciofoletti et al. v. Securian Financial Group, Inc. (D. Minn.)
- Reed, et al. v. Advocate Health Care, et al. (N.D. III.)
- 3M Company Earplugs Litig. (Minn.)
- In re Medtronic Inc. Sprint Fidelis Leads Prod. Liab. Litig. (D. Minn.)
- Karsjens et al v. Jesson (D. Minn.)
- St. Jude (Pinsonneault v. St. Jude Medical, Inc., et al. (D. Minn.); Houlettev. St. Jude Medical Inc., et al. (D. Minn.); Rouse v. St. Jude Medical, Inc., et al. (D. Minn.))
- American Home Realty Network (Regional Multiple Listing Service of Minnesota, Inc., d/b/a NorthstarMLS v. American Home Realty Network, Inc., (D. Minn.); Metropolitan Regional Information Systems, Inc., v. American Home Realty Network, Inc. (D. Md.); Preferred Carolinas Realty, Inc., v. American Home Realty Network, Inc., d/b/a Neighborcity.com (M.D.N.C.))

Education:

- Juris Doctor (2004)
 - o University of Minnesota Law School
 - Jessup International Law Moot Court
 - Comparative international law program in Greece
- Bachelor of Arts (2001)
 - o Gustavus Adolphus College
 - Magna cum laude
 - Phi Beta Kappa

Court Admissions:

- Minnesota Supreme Court
- U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota

Recognition:

- Selected by Super Lawyers as a Minnesota "Super Lawyer" (2021-2023)
- Selected by Super Lawyers as a Minnesota "Rising Star" (2013 2019)
- Selected by Minnesota Lawyer as an Attorney of the Year (2017 & 2022)
- MSBA North Star Lawyer (2015)
- Minnesota District Court's Distinguished Pro Bono Service Award (2011)

BAILEY TWYMAN-METZGER

Bailey Twyman-Metzger joined Gustafson Gluek in March 2023 after working as an attorney editor at Thomson Reuters, where she was actively involved in pro bono work. Prior to her work at Thomson Reuters, Ms. Twyman-Metzger was a Robina Post-Graduate Fellow at the Advocates for Human Rights.



Ms. Twyman-Metzger practices in the areas of consumer protection and antitrust litigation, where she represents individuals and small businesses in both federal and state court. Bailey is an active member of the Minnesota Lavender Bar Association, Federal Bar Association, Minnesota Bar Association, and Minnesota Women Lawyers.

Ms. Twyman-Metzger is a 2015 graduate of Miami University with a B.A. in History and Women, Gender, and Sexuality Studies, and a minor in Art History, and a 2018 cum laude graduate of the University of Minnesota Law School. While in law school, Ms. Twyman-Metzger was a staffer and Lead Symposium Editor for the Minnesota Journal of Law & Inequality. Ms. Twyman-Metzger was also a student attorney and student director for the University of Minnesota Human Rights Litigation and International Legal Advocacy Clinic.

Ms. Twyman-Metzger has worked on several cases in which Gustafson Gluek is, or has been appointed to leadership positions or been actively involved, including:

- In re DPP Beef Litig. (D. Minn.)
- Google Digital Publisher Antitrust Litig. (S.D. N.Y.)
- In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- 3M Company Earplugs Litig. (Minn.)

Education:

- Juris Doctor (2018)
 - o University of Minnesota Law School
 - Lead Symposium Editor, Minnesota Journal of Law & Inequality
- Bachelor of Arts (2015)
 o Miami University

- Minnesota Supreme Court
- U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota

CATHERINE K. SMITH

Catherine Sung-Yun K. Smith is a member of Gustafson Gluek PLLC. Since joining the Firm in 2007, Ms. Smith has been practicing in the area of complex antitrust and consumer protection litigation, particularly cases involving foreign entities. Ms. Smith is fluent in Korean and English and also has basic language skills in German, Japanese, and Chinese.

Ms. Smith has been serving on the Antitrust Enforcement Award Judging Committee for the American Antitrust Institute since 2015. Ms. Smith was



selected as a Minnesota "Rising Star" from 2013-2016 by Law & Politics Magazine. She was named Super Lawyer in 2022-2023, and also was selected as one of Minnesota's Attorney of the Year in 2023. She is an active member of Minnesota Women Lawyers and the Federal Bar Association focusing on issues of diversity.

Ms. Smith has represented many *pro* se litigants through the Federal Bar Association's *Pro* Se Project in addition to those referred to Gustafson Gluek by other sources and received the Distinguished Pro Bono Service Award in 2010 for her efforts.

She is a graduate of Korea University (B.A. 2000) and a graduate of University of Minnesota Law School (J.D. 2005). Ms. Smith is admitted to the New York Bar, Minnesota Bar and is admitted to practice in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota.

Ms. Smith has worked on several cases in which Gustafson Gluek is or had been appointed to leadership positions or been actively involved including:

- In re Abbott Infant Formula Products Liability (N.D. III.)
- Lopez et al. v. Mead Johnson Nutrition Co. et al. (N.D. III.)

- In re Trader Joe's Dark Chocolate Litig. (S.D. Cal.)
- In re Theo's Dark Chocolate Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- In re Nurture Baby Food Litig. (S.D.N.Y.)
- In re Plum Baby Food Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- Thomas et al v. Beech-Nut Nutrition Company (N.D.N.Y)
- Baldwin et al v. Miracle-Ear, Inc. (D. Minn.)
- In re Gerber Co. Heavy Metals Baby Food Litig. (E.D. Va.)
- In re Hard Disk Drive Suspension Assemblies Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- Fuentes et al. v. Jiffy Lube International, Inc. (E.D. Pa)
- In re Juul Labs, Inc., Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- In re Lithium Ion Batteries Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- In re Dealer Management Systems Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)In re Optical Disk Drive Products Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- In re TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- In re Remicade Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Pa.)
- Fath et al. v. Honda North America, Inc. (D. Minn.)
- Penrod et al. v. K&N Engineering, Inc. (D. Minn.)
- Frost et al. v. LG Corp., et al. (N.D. Cal.)
- In re Railway Industry Employee No-Poach Antitrust Litig. (W.D. Pa.)
- In re Korean Air Lines Co. Ltd. Antitrust Litig. (C.D. Cal.)
- In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Mich.)

Education:

- Juris Doctor (2005)
 - o University of Minnesota Law School
 - Director of the Civil Practice Clinic
 - Director of William E. McGee National Civil Rights Moot Court Competition
 - Participant in the Maynard Pirsig Moot Court
- Bachelor of Arts (2000)
 o Korea University

- Minnesota Supreme Court
- U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota

- Appellate Division of the New York State Supreme Court
- U.S. District Court for the Northern District of New York

Recognition:

- Selected by Minnesota Lawyer as Attorney of the Year (2023)
- Selected by Super Lawyers as a Minnesota "Super Lawyer" (2022-2023)
- Selected by Super Lawyers as a Minnesota "Rising Star" (2013 2016)
- Minnesota District Court's Distinguished Pro Bono Service Award (2010)

DANIEL C. HEDLUND

Daniel C. Hedlund is a member of Gustafson Gluek PLLC, having joined the Firm in 2006. Throughout his legal career, Mr. Hedlund has practiced in the areas of antitrust, securities fraud, and consumer protection, and, in 2021, Mr.



Hedlund was named Co-Chair the Firm's antitrust litigation team.

Mr. Hedlund is admitted to practice in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals, and in Minnesota State Court. He is a member of the Federal, American, Minnesota, and Hennepin County Bar associations. Mr. Hedlund is active in the Minnesota Chapter of the Federal Bar Association (FBA), recently completing a term as President for the Minnesota chapter of the FBA. He has previously served in several roles for the Minnesota Chapter including: Co-Vice President for the Eighth Circuit, Co-Vice President Legal Education; Co-Vice President, Special Events; Co-Vice President, Monthly Meetings; Secretary; and Liaison between the FBA and the Minnesota State Bar Association. He has served as Chairman for the Antitrust Section of the Minnesota State Bar Association (MSBA), Secretary for the MSBA Consumer Litigation Section, and is past President of the Committee to Support Antitrust Laws. In addition, he has been appointed twice by the Court to serve on Panels for Magistrate Judge Selection in the District of Minnesota.

In addition to presenting at numerous CLEs, Mr. Hedlund has testified multiple times before the Minnesota legislature on competition law, and before the Federal Rules Committee.

From 2013-2023, he has been designated as a Minnesota "Super Lawyer," in the field of antitrust law. He was also ranked in the Top 100 Minnesota Lawyers by *Super Lawyers* in 2015 and 2017-2021. Mr. Hedlund has served as a volunteer attorney for the Minnesota Federal Bar Association's Federal *Pro Se* Project and

is the recipient of the Minnesota District Court's Distinguished *Pro Bono* Service Award in 2011.

Mr. Hedlund served as a law clerk on the Minnesota Court of Appeals (1997) and in the Fourth Judicial District of Minnesota (1995-1996).

Mr. Hedlund has worked on several cases in which Gustafson Gluek is or had been appointed to leadership positions or been actively involved including:

- In re Beef DPP Antitrust Litig. (D. Minn.)
- In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)
- In re Interior Molded Doors Indirect Purchaser Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Va.)
- In re Pork Antitrust Litig. (D. Minn.)
- In re Deere & Company Repair Services Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)
- Bhatia v. 3M Co. (D. Minn.)
- In re Dealer Management Systems Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)
- Kleen Prods. v. Intl. Paper (Containerboard Antitrust Litig.) (N.D. III.)
- In re CenturyLink Sales Practices and Securities Litig. (D. Minn.)
- Precision Assocs., Inc. v. Panalpina World Transport (Holding) Ltd. (E.D.N.Y.)
- The Shane Group, Inc. v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan (E.D. Mich.)
- In re Vitamin C Antitrust Litig. (E.D.N.Y.)
- In re Blue Cross Blue Shield Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Ala.)
- In re DRAM Antitrust Litig.

Additional Background Information

Education:

- Juris Doctor (1995)
 - o University of Minnesota Law School
 - Note and Comment Editor: Minnesota Journal of Global Trade
- Bachelor of Arts (1989)
 Carleton College

- Minnesota Supreme Court
- U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota

Recognition:

- Selected by Super Lawyers as a Minnesota "Super Lawyer" (2013 2023)
- Ranked in Top 100 Minnesota Lawyers by Super Lawyers (2015, 2017 2021)
- Minnesota District Court's Distinguished Pro Bono Service Award (2011)
- Recipient of the Federal Bar Association's John T. Stewart, Jr. Memorial Fund Writing Award (1994)

Publications:

- Co-Authored "Plaintiff Overview" in Private Antitrust Litigation 2015 Getting the Deal Through
- Contributor to Concurrent Antitrust Criminal and Civil Procedure 2013 American Bar Association

DANIEL J. NORDIN

Daniel J. Nordin joined Gustafson Gluek PLLC as an associate in 2011 after graduating from the University of Minnesota law school. Since joining the Firm, he has practiced in the areas of antitrust and consumer protection, representing primarily small businesses and individuals bringing claims against large corporations. Mr. Nordin became a member of Gustafson Gluek in 2019.



In addition to his day-to-day practice, Mr. Nordin has represented several individuals through the Minnesota

Federal Bar's *Pro Se* Project, a program that matches pro se litigants with pro bono attorneys.

Mr. Nordin is admitted to the Minnesota Bar and is admitted to practice in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota. He is also a member of the Federal Bar Association and the Minnesota Bar Association.

In law school, Mr. Nordin was a Managing Editor on the Minnesota Journal of Law, Science & Technology. He also volunteered as a Tenant Advocate with HOME Line, a nonprofit tenant advocacy organization, through the University of Minnesota Law School's Public Interest Clinic.

Mr. Nordin has worked on several cases in which Gustafson Gluek is or had been appointed to leadership positions or been actively involved including:

- Google Digital Publisher Antitrust Litig. (S.D.N.Y.)
- In re Crop Inputs Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Mo.)
- Jones v. Varsity Brands, LLC (W.D. Tenn.)
- In re Hard Disk Drive Suspension Assemblies Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- In re Surescripts Antitrust Litigation (N.D. III.)
- In re FICO Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Ala.)

- In re Blue Cross Blue Shield Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Ala.)
- In re Dealer Management Systems Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)
- In re Packaged Seafood Products Antitrust Litig. (S.D. Cal.)
- In re Resistors Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- The Shane Group, Inc., et al., vs. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan (E.D. Mich.)
- In re Parking Heaters Antitrust Litig. (E.D.N.Y.)
- In re Drywall Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Pa.)

Education:

- Juris Doctor (2011)
 - o University of Minnesota Law School
 - Magna cum laude
 - Managing Editor: Minnesota Journal of Law Science & Technology
- Bachelor of Arts (2007)
 - o University of Minnesota
 - with distinction

Court Admissions:

- Minnesota Supreme Court
- U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota
- U.S. District Court for the Easter District of Michigan

Recognition:

- Selected by Super Lawyers as a Minnesota "Rising Star" (2018 2022)
- MSBA North Star Lawyer (2020, 2023)

DAVID A. GOODWIN

David A. Goodwin is a member of Gustafson Gluek PLLC. When Mr. Goodwin joined the Firm in 2008, he began practicing in the areas of antitrust, securities and consumer protection. Since then, he has represented many small businesses and individuals in litigating their



claims against some of the largest companies in the world.

In addition, Mr. Goodwin has served as counsel to many individuals on a pro bono basis through his work with the Minnesota Federal Court's Pro Se Project, which matches pro se litigants with pro bono attorneys. Through the Pro Se Project, Mr. Goodwin has represented individuals in bringing employments claims, constitutional claims and other civil claims that might otherwise not have been heard.

Mr. Goodwin is admitted to practice in the Minnesota Bar and is admitted to practice in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota.

Mr. Goodwin is active in the Federal Bar Association on the national level as well as with the Minnesota Chapter. He has served as a National Director of the FBA. He is also a past Chair of the Younger Lawyers Division. Currently, he is an Eighth Circuit Vice President. David is also a Director of the Minnesota Chapter of the FBA, where he serves as the FBA Liaison for the Pro Se Project. Mr. Goodwin is also active with the Minnesota State Bar Association, where he has served as a Co-Chair of the Consumer Litigation Section.

Mr. Goodwin is currently or has recently worked on several cases in which Gustafson Gluek is or had been appointed to leadership positions or actively involved including:

- Kevin Brnich Electric LLC et al. v. Siemens Industry Inc. (N.D. Ga.)
- In Re: Group Health Plan Litig. (D. Minn.)
- Crowell, et al.v. FCA US, LLC (D. De.)
- In Re: Kia Hyundai Vehicle Theft Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation (C.D. Cal.)
- Thelen, et al., v. HP. Inc. (D. De.)
- Salinas, et al., v. Block, Inc., et al., (N.D. Cal.)
- Hogan v. Amazon, Inc. (N.D. III.)
- Krukas et al. v. AARP, Inc., et al. (D.D.C.)
- FCA US LLC Monostable Electronic Gearshifts Litig. (E.D. Mich.)
- Krautkramer v. Yamaha Motor Corporation, USA (D. Minn.)
- Reynolds, et al., v. FCA US, LLC (E.D. Mi.)
- Gisairo v. Lenovo (United States) Inc. (D. Minn.)
- Kottemann Orthodontics, P.L.L.C. v. Delta Dental Plans Association, et al. (D. Minn.)
- In re: Dealer Management Systems Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)
- Karsjens et al. v. Harpstead, et al. (D. Minn.)
- Phillips v. Caliber Home Loans (D. Minn.)
- Woronko v. General Motors, LLC (E.D. Mich.)
- Dryer et al. v. National Football League (D. Minn.)
- National Hockey League Players' Concussion Injury Litig. (D. Minn.)
- In re Aluminum Warehousing Antitrust Litig. (S.D.N.Y.)
- In re: National Prescription Opioids Litig. (N.D. Oh.)

Education:

- Juris Doctor (2006)
 DePaul University College of Law
- Bachelor of Arts (2001)
 - o University of Wisconsin

- Minnesota Supreme Court
- U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota

Recognition:

- Selected by Super Lawyers as a Minnesota "Super Lawyer" (2022-2024)
- Selected by Super Lawyers as a Minnesota "Rising Star" (2013 2018)
- MSBA North Star Lawyer (2012-2016, 2018, 2020, 2021, 2023)

DENNIS STEWART

Dennis Stewart joined Gustafson Gluek PLLC as a member in 2019, opening the Firm's San Diego office. Mr. Stewart comes to Gustafson Gluek with years of experience in litigating antitrust, consumer and securities class and individual actions. His cases have ranged across a wide variety of industries including carbon fiber, credit card fees, interchange, casino gaming, sports broadcasting, college athletics, rental car fees, electronics



components, medical devices, medical services, casino gaming, and defense procurement.

He is currently serving as one of the counsel in the leadership group in *In re Payment Card Interchange Fee and Merchant Discount Antitrust Litig.* He also is one of the counsel participating in the representation of End Purchaser Plaintiffs in *In re Packaged Seafood Products Antitrust Litig.* (S.D. Cal.), Commercial and Industrial Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs in *In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litig.* (N.D. III.) and one of the trial counsel in *In re: Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litig.* (N.D. Cal.). Mr. Stewart recently served as one of the counsel who successfully obtained an injunction requiring the National Womens Soccer League to permit a 15 ½ year old womens soccer player to play in the NWSL despite a minimum age rule which would have blocked her participation in the league.

Between 1981 and 1985, he worked for a major San Diego law firm and engaged in a general commercial litigation practice. Between 1985 and 1988, Mr. Stewart served as a trial attorney with the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice. While at the Antitrust Division, Mr. Stewart participated in investigations and trials involving alleged criminal violations of the antitrust and related laws in waste hauling, movie exhibition, and government procurement and was lead trial counsel in the successful prosecution through trial of United States v. Saft America, Inc. (D.N.J.). Since leaving government service, Mr. Stewart has served as Lead Counsel, Principal Counsel and/or Trial Counsel in numerous antitrust, consumer and securities cases, both class and non-class. He was Lead Trial Counsel in *Knapp v. Ernst & Whinney* (9th Cir. 1996), in which a plaintiffs' verdict was returned in a Rule 10b-5 securities fraud class action, and *Hall v. NCAA*, (D. Kan.) in which Plaintiffs' verdicts were returned for NCAA assistant coaches.

Mr. Stewart has also served as Co-Lead Trial Counsel, Co-Lead Counsel, Trial Counsel or played an integral role in the following litigation:

- Colon v. NCAA (E.D. Cal.)
- In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)
- In re Airline Ticket Commission Antitrust Litig. (D. Minn.)
- In re Contact Lens Antitrust Litig. (M.D. Fla.)
- In re Lifescan Consumer Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- Carbon Fiber Antitrust Litig. (C.D. Cal.)
- In re Currency Conversion Litig. (S.D.N.Y.)
- Schwartz v. Visa (Cal. Sup Ct.)
- In re Polypropolene Carpet Antitrust Litigation (N.D. Ga.)
- Shames v. Hertz Corp. (S.D. Cal.)
- In re Broadcom Securities Litig. (C.D. Cal.)
- In re: Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)

Additional Background Information

Education:

- Juris Doctor

 Hofstra University
- College of the Holy Cross (1976)

Court Admissions:

- California Supreme Court
- California Court of Appeal
- U.S. District Court for the District of California

Recognitions:

Selected by Super Lawyers as a California "Super Lawyer" (2012 – 2018; 2022)

FRANCES MAHONEY-MOSEDALE

Ms. Mahoney-Mosedale became an associate of Gustafson Gluek PLLC in 2021 after clerking for the firm throughout law school.

Ms. Mahoney-Mosedale represents individuals and small businesses on behalf of themselves and/or a class in the in the areas of consumer protection, product defect, and antitrust. Ms. Mahoney-Mosedale is actively involved in assisting to represent individuals on a pro bono basis through the Minnesota Federal Bar Associations Pro Se



Project, which matches pro se litigants to pro bono clients. She is an active member of Minnesota Women Lawyers, the American Bar Association, Federal Bar Association, Minnesota State Bar Association, and the Lavender Bar Association.

Ms. Mahoney-Mosedale has a Bachelor of Arts from Lewis & Clark college, graduating with a major in English and a minor in Gender Studies. Frances is also a graduate of the University of Minnesota Law School.

Ms. Mahoney-Mosedale has worked on several cases in which Gustafson Gluek is, or has been appointed to leadership positions or been actively involved, including:

- Deere & Company Repair Services Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)
- 3M Company Earplugs Litig. (Minn.)
- Samaha, et al. v. City of Minneapolis, et al (D. Minn.)
- Google Digital Publisher Antitrust Litig. (S.D.N.Y.)
- Jones v. Varsity Brands, LLC (W.D. Tenn.)

Education:

- Juris Doctor (2021)

 University of Minnesota Law School
- Bachelor of Arts (2016)

 Lewis and Clark College

- Minnesota Supreme Court
- United States District Court for the District of MN

JASON S. KILENE

Jason Kilene is a member of Gustafson Gluek PLLC. He is a graduate of the University of North Dakota (B.A. 1991) and a graduate of the University of North Dakota School of Law (J.D., with distinction, 1994).

Mr. Kilene joined Gustafson Gluek in 2003 and became a member shortly thereafter. Prior to joining Gustafson Gluek, Mr. Kilene served as a law clerk to the Honorable Bruce M. Van Sickle, United States District Judge for the District of North Dakota.



Following his clerkship, Mr. Kilene represented numerous clients in the areas of commercial and complex litigation. Since then, Mr. Kilene has continued his practice in the areas of antitrust, consumer protection and other complex litigation.

Mr. Kilene is admitted to the Minnesota Bar, North Dakota Bar and is admitted to practice in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota and the District of North Dakota. He is also a member of the Hennepin County, Minnesota, North Dakota, and Federal Bar Associations.

Mr. Kilene currently represents individuals and businesses harmed by anticompetitive business practices. He was part of the trial team that successfully recovered damages suffered by his clients due to alleged defective software in *In re J.D. Edwards World Solutions Company*, (AAA) (trial counsel for plaintiffs Quantegy and Amherst). Mr. Kilene also plays a significant role in identification, investigation, initiation and development of complex class action matters, along with his significant involvement with client relations.

Mr. Kilene has worked on several cases in which Gustafson Gluek is or had been appointed to leadership positions or been actively involved including:

- In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Mich.)
- In re Transpacific Passenger Air Transportation Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- In re Domestic Drywall Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Pa.)
- In re Payment Card Interchange Fee and Merchant Discount Litig. (E.D.N.Y.)
- In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)
- In re Domestic Drywall Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Penn.)
- In re Lithium Ion Batteries Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- In re Optical Disk Drive Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)

Education:

- Juris Doctor (1994)
 - o University of North Dakota School of Law
 - with distinction
- Bachelor of Arts (2016)

 University of North Dakota

- Minnesota Supreme Court
- United States District Court for the District of MN
- North Dakota Supreme Court
- United States District Court for the District of ND

JOE NELSON

Mr. Nelson joined Gustafson Gluek PLLC as an associate in November 2022 after clerking for the Honorable Kate Menendez at the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota and the Honorable James B. Florey at the Minnesota Court of Appeals.

Mr. Nelson will be practicing in the areas of antitrust, product defect, consumer protection and civil rights. He has already delved into constitutional issue for pro bono cases at



Gustafson Gluek and has been investigating potential product defect cases.

Mr. Nelson graduated *cum lade* from Mitchell-Hamline School of Law in 2019. While in law school, he served as an editor on the Mitchell-Hamline Law Review and volunteered with the Self-Help Clinic, which helps individuals represent themselves in court. He also clerked for a Twin Cities plaintiff's employment law firm.

Mr. Nelson is committed to the protection of civil rights, consumer safety, and fair competition.

Additional Background Information

Education:

- Juris Doctor (2019)
 - o Mitchell-Hamline School of Law
 - Editor: Minnesota Mitchell-Hamline Law Review
- Bachelor of Arts (2014)
 Saint John's University

- Minnesota Supreme Court
- U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota

JOSHUA J. RISSMAN

Joshua Rissman joined Gustafson Gluek in 2010 as an associate and became a member of the Firm in 2018. Since joining the Firm, Mr. Rissman has focused his practice on antitrust and class action litigation. Mr. Rissman prides himself on vigorously representing small businesses and individuals damaged by wrongful corporate and government conduct.



In addition to his antitrust class action practice, Mr. Rissman has brought several pieces of important

constitutional litigation involving mistreatment of juvenile detainees and police brutality. He currently represents a former juvenile detainee who alleges he was abused at the Minnesota Correctional Facility – Red Wing, and that the administration was aware of the risks to the juvenile and failed to protect him. *Doe v. Hanson et al.* (Minn.) Mr. Rissman was also the lead attorney in a section 1983 constitutional rights action brought on behalf of the family of a man killed by Brooklyn Center police officers in 2015. *Khottavongsa v. City of Brooklyn Center* (D. Minn.). Mr. Rissman is currently representing a class of protestors who were unlawfully subjected to tear gas and pepper spray in the protest following the George Floyd protest. *Samaha, et al. v. City of Minneapolis, et al* (D. Minn.).

Mr. Rissman was selected a Minnesota Rising Star by Super Lawyers in the area of antitrust litigation (2014 – 2020) and was selected as a "Super Lawyer" in 2021. He is the Treasurer of the Antitrust Section of the Federal Bar Association, and counsel member of the Minnesota Bar Association Antitrust Section. Joshua also participates in the Pro Se Project, representing civil litigants in federal court who would otherwise go without representation.

Mr. Rissman has worked on several cases in which Gustafson Gluek is or had been appointed to leadership positions or been actively involved including:

- In re Pork Antitrust Litig. (D. Minn.)
- In re DPP Beef Antitrust Litig. (D. Minn.)
- In re Containerboard Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)
- In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)
- In National Hockey League Players' Concussion Injury Litig. (D. Minn.)
- Precision Assocs., Inc. v. Panalpina World Transport (Holding) Ltd. (E.D.N.Y.)
- In re Lithium Batteries Antitrust Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- In re Optical Disk Drives Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- In re Asacol Antitrust Litig. (D. Mass.)
- In re Opana Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)
- City of Wyoming et al. v. Procter & Gamble Company (D. Minn.)

Additional Background Information

Education:

- Juris Doctor (2010)
 Oniversity of Minnesota School of Law
 - cum laude
- Bachelor of Arts (2005)
 - o University of Minnesota
 - magna cum laude

Court Admissions:

- Minnesota Supreme Court
- U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota

Recognition:

- Selected by Super Lawyers as a Minnesota "Super Lawyer" (2021-2023)
- Selected by Super Lawyers as a Minnesota "Rising Star" (2014 2020)

KAITLYN L. DENNIS

Kaitlyn L. Dennis joined Gustafson Gluek PLLC as an associate in 2016. Since joining the Firm, Ms. Dennis has practiced in the areas of consumer protection, product liability, and antitrust litigation. In 2022, she was appointed to serve as Interim Co-Lead Counsel in the *In re Deere Repair Services Antitrust Litigation*, making her among the youngest attorneys ever appointed to serve as co-lead counsel in a nationwide class action.



In addition to her regular practice, Ms. Dennis has

assisted multiple pro se litigants through the Federal Bar Association's Pro Se Project and is recognized as a North Star Lawyer for providing at least 50 hours of pro bono legal services in a calendar year. She was lead attorney in an arbitration trial alleging workplace discrimination on behalf of a pro bono client.

She is an active member of the American Bar Association, Federal Bar Association, Minnesota Bar Association, Minnesota Women Lawyers, and is the Chair of the Young Lawyers Division of the Committee to Support the Antitrust Laws ("COSAL"). In 2022, Ms. Dennis was one of the primary authors of an amicus brief filed by COSAL in the ninth circuit in the *Epic v. Apple* appeal. She is also one of the authors contributing to the forthcoming Rule of Reason Handbook for the ABA Antitrust Section.

Ms. Dennis is admitted to the Minnesota Bar and is admitted to practice in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota.

Prior to joining Gustafson Gluek, Ms. Dennis worked as a fellowship attorney at the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and assisted the Honorable Arthur J. Boylan, ret., during the mediation of the bankruptcy of the Archdiocese of St. Paul and Minneapolis. Ms. Dennis has worked on several cases in which Gustafson Gluek is or had been appointed to leadership positions or been actively involved including:

- In re Deere & Company Repair Services. Antitrust Litig., (N.D. III.)
- In re Crop Inputs Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Mo.)
- In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Pa.)
- Hogan v. Amazon.com (W.D. Wash.)
- Reynolds v. FCA (E.D. Mich.)
- In re Surescripts Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)
- Wood Mountain Fish LLC v. Mowi ASA (S.D. Fla.) (Farmed Atlantic Salmon Indirect Purchaser Antitrust Litigation)
- In re Interior Molded Doors Indirect Purchaser Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Va.)
- In re Equifax, Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litig. (N.D. Ga.)
- FCA US LLC Monostable Electronic Gearshifts Litig. (E.D. Mich.)
- Kjessler v. Zaappaaz, Inc. et al. (S.D. Tex.)
- Fath v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc. (D. Minn.)
- In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Mich.)
- In re Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Marketing Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litig. (N.D. Cal.)

Additional Background Information

Education:

- Juris Doctor (2015)
 - o University of Minnesota Law School
 - Dean's List (2012-2015)
 - Managing Editor of MN Law Review
- Bachelor of Arts (2010)
 - o Southwestern University

Court Admissions:

- Minnesota Supreme Court
- U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota

Recognition:

• MSBA North Star Lawyer (2018-2021)

Publications:

- Committee to Support the Antitrust Laws (COSAL) Amicus Brief in Epic v. Apple (9th Cir. 2022)
- ABA, Handbook on the Rule of Reason (1st. Ed.) (forthcoming)


Speaking:

- American Antitrust Association, Young Lawyer's Breakfast (2019)
- Minnesota Federal Bar Association, Pro Se Project and a Pint (2019)

KIRK HULETT

Kirk Hulett joined Gustafson Gluek PLLC in 2019 and is located in the San Diego office. Mr. Hulett has been named a San Diego Top Rated Securities Lawyer by Super Lawyers Magazine each year since 2010.

Mr. Hulett graduated from the University of California San Diego, where he obtained his



undergraduate degree (1978). He then graduated cum laude from the University of San Diego School of Law (J.D. 1983), where he was Managing Editor of the University of San Diego Law Reporter. Since 1984, Mr. Hulett has specialized in the representation of plaintiffs in securities, antitrust, employment and consumer class actions as well as representing individuals and businesses in complex litigation.

Prior to co-founding Hulett Harper Stewart LLP in 2000, Mr. Hulett was a partner in the largest national class action firm in the country. He has testified before the California Assembly Business and Professions Committee on the topic of potential regulatory and auditor liability reforms following the Enron financial collapse and participated as a panelist on several occasions. He has been named a San Diego Top Rated Securities Lawyer by Super Lawyers Magazine each year since 2010. He is admitted to all of the District Courts in California, numerous other District Courts across the country by pro hac admission, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and the United States Supreme Court. Mr. Hulett joined Gustafson Gluek as a member in 2019.

Mr. Hulett has been Lead or Co-Lead Counsel in dozens of class actions throughout the country, including In re American Continental Corp./Lincoln Savings & Loan Securities Litig. (D. Ariz.); In re Media Vision Technology Securities Litig. (N.D. Cal.); Home Fed, (S.D. Cal.); and Gensia Pharmaceuticals, (S.D. Cal.). He was Co-Lead trial counsel for a trustee in Guy F. Atkinson Co. v. PriceWaterhouse LLP, et al. (N.D. Cal.), a liability action against PriceWaterhouseCoopers, LLP, and represents several bankruptcy estates in seeking recovery against officers, directors and professionals.

He also successfully represented defrauded individual investors in the Abbott et al. v. Worldcom Co. (S.D.N.Y). He was Co-Lead Counsel the securities class action, Enriquez v. Edward Jones & Co. L.P. (E.D. Mo.). Mr. Hulett also represented defrauded individual investors in Bachman et al. v. A.G. Edwards (Circuit Ct. of St. Louis) for breach of fiduciary duty. He represented an elderly individual in a Ponzi scheme case, Meyerhoff v.Gruttadaria, et al., (San Diego Superior Court) against one of Wall Street's most prominent investment banks and was successful in obtaining a full recovery for the victim. He was Co- Lead Trial Counsel in Pauma Band of Luiseno Mission Tribe v. Harrah's Operating Co., et al. (San Diego Superior Court) on behalf of a San Diego area based Native American Tribe against Caesars Entertainment and Harrah's. Mr. Hulett has most recently been involved in representing victims of an antitrust conspiracy among the three largest suppliers of canned tuna in the world in In Re Packaged Seafood Products Antitrust Litig. (S.D. Cal.).

Additional Background Information

Education:

- Juris Doctor (1983)
 Oniversity of San Diego Law School
- Bachelor of Arts (1978)
 Oniversity of California, San Diego

Court Admissions:

- California Supreme Court
- U.S. District Court for the District of California
- U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
- U.S. Supreme Court

Recognition:

Selected by Super Lawyers as a California "Super Lawyer" (2010-2018; 2020)

MARY NIKOLAI

Mary Nikolai joined Gustafson Gluek PLLC as an associate in 2019, after clerking for the Honorable Luis Bartolomei, District Judge, Fourth Judicial District of Minnesota. Since joining the Firm, Ms. Nikolai has represented individuals and classes in asserting various consumer fraud and product defect claims. Ms. Nikolai is currently on the litigation team – of which Gustafson Gluek has been appointed to serve as Interim Class Counsel – representing consumers in a class action against Jeep for its manufacturing, marketing, and



distributing of Jeep Wrangler and Cherokee 4xe vehicles without disclosing a key defect that prohibits the vehicles from operating in an all-electric mode. Ms. Nikolai was also part of the legal team that took on Brewster v. USA, a pro bono case involving a veteran who sustained injuries during his surgery and subsequent treatment at the Veterans Affairs Medical Center. The team overcame a variety of challenges to secure a significant settlement for their client.

Ms. Nikolai is admitted to the Minnesota State Bar and the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota. She is also an active member of the Federal Bar Association and the Minnesota Women's Lawyers, participating as a member of the Partner Leadership Council (PLC).

During law school, Ms. Nikolai clerked for two Twin Cities law firms and was a judicial extern for the Honorable Patrick Schiltz. She was also a Certified Student Attorney at the St. Thomas Interprofessional Center for Counseling and Legal Services, where she represented a family seeking asylum in the United States, which was ultimately granted. She also represented individuals at detained master calendar and bond hearings.

Ms. Nikolai has worked on several cases in which Gustafson Gluek is or had been appointed to leadership positions or been actively involved including:

- Key v. Breville USA, Inc. (N.D. Ca.)
- Crowell v. FCA U.S. LLC (D. Del.)
- Krohn v. Pacific Market International LLC (W.D. Wa.)
- Havrilla v. Centene Corporation (N.D. III.)
- Hernandez v. Newrez, LLC (E.D. Pa.)
- In re Gerber Products Company Heavy Metals Baby Food Litig. (E.D. Va.)
- In re Nurture Baby Food Litig (S.D.N.Y)
- In re Plum Baby Food Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- Castorina v. Bank of America, N.A. (E.D. Va.)
- Turner et al v. Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. (D. Colo.)
- Reitman v. Champion Petfoods (C.D. Cal.)
- Weaver v. Champion Petfoods (E.D. Wis.)
- In re Big Heart Pet Brands Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- Krukas et al. v. AARP, Inc., et al. (D.D.C.)
- Bhatia v. 3M Co. (D. Minn.)
- Doe v. Hanson et al. (Minn.)
- Hudock v. LG Electronics USA, Inc. (D. Minn.)
- Brewster v. United States (D. Minn.)

Additional Background Information

Education:

- Juris Doctor (2018)
 - o University of St. Thomas
 - Clinic Student of the Year (2017 -2018)
- Bachelor of Arts (2012)
 - DePaul University

Court Admissions:

- Minnesota Supreme Court
- U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota

Recognition:

- MSBA North Star Lawyer (2020)
- MSBA North Star Lawyer (2021)

MATT JACOBS

Matt joined Gustafson Gluek PLLC as an associate in September 2023 after clerking for the Honorable Elise L. Larson at the Minnesota Court of Appeals. As an associate, Matt will represent clients vindicating their rights under antitrust, consumer protection, constitutional, and products liability laws. Matt is a passionate advocate for a fairer



economy and improved access to justice.

Matt graduated from the University of Minnesota law school. During law school, Matt clerked for an impact litigation firm advocating for low-wage workers rights and represented workers in unemployment benefits appeals as a certified student attorney. He was the first Minnesota Farmers Union Fellow at the Minnesota Attorney General's Office, where he worked exclusively on issues at the intersection of antitrust and agriculture, such as the right-to-repair. Matt was a founding member of the University of Minnesota Law Students for Economic Justice.

Additional Background Information

Education:

- Juris Doctor (2022)
 O University of Minnesota
- Master of Arts (2012)
 University of Oregon
- Bachelor of Arts (2006)
 Oniversity of Oregon

Court Admissions:

• Minnesota Supreme Court

MICHELLE J. LOOBY

Michelle J. Looby is a member of Gustafson Gluek PLLC. Ms. Looby joined Gustafson Gluek in 2008 and became a member in 2015. She co-chairs the Firm's antitrust group.

In the courtroom, Ms. Looby has served in leadership roles including as co-lead counsel, in numerous class actions. Outside the courtroom, Ms. Looby is actively involved in the legal community serving on the Advisory Board of the American Antitrust Institute, as the Immediate Past Chair and



Diversity & Inclusion Liaison for the Minnesota State Bar Association's Antitrust Section, and on the executive committee of the Coalition in Support of the Antitrust Laws. In addition, she is actively involved in the American Bar Association, Federal Bar Association, and Minnesota Women Lawyers, previously having served on its Board of Directors. Ms. Looby also served on Law360's Competition Editorial Advisory Board, a leading daily legal news and intelligence service that reaches over one million recipients each day.

Ms. Looby is admitted to the Minnesota Bar and is admitted to practice in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota and the United State District Court for the District of North Dakota.

Ms. Looby has worked on several cases in which Gustafson Gluek is or had been appointed to leadership positions or been actively involved including:

- In re Crop Inputs (E.D. Mo.)
- In re Interior Molded Doors Antitrust Litig. (E.D.V.A.)
- In re DPP Beef Litig. (D. Minn.)
- In re Dealer Management Systems Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)
- Precision Associates, Inc. et al. v. Panalpina World Transport (Holding), Ltd., et al. (E.D.N.Y.)

- Powell Prescription Center, et al. v. Surescripts, LLC et al. (N.D. III.)
- In re CenturyLink Residential Customer Billing Disputes Litig. (D. Minn.)
- In re Allura Fiber Cement Siding Products Liability Litig. (D.S.C.)
- In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)
- In re Pork Antitrust Litig. (D. Minn.)
- In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Pa.)
- In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Mich.)
- In re Opana ER Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)
- In re Restatsis (Cyclosporine Opthalmic Emulsion) Antitrust Litig. (E.D.N.Y)
- In re Asacol Antitrust Litig. (D. Mass.)
- In re Celebrex (Celecoxib) Antitrust Litig. (E.D. Va.)

Additional Background Information

Education:

- Juris Doctor (2007)
 - William Mitchell College of Law
 - William Mitchell Law Review (2005-2007)
 - Assistant Editor (2006-2007)
 - Recipient of the CALI Excellence for the Future Award
- Bachelor of Arts (2004)
 - o University of Minnesota
 - with distinction

Court Admissions:

- Minnesota Supreme Court
- U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota

Recognition:

- Selected by Super Lawyers as a Minnesota "Super Lawyer" (2021-2023)
- Selected by Super Lawyers as a Minnesota "Rising Star" (2014 2020)
- American Antitrust Institute Award for Outstanding Antitrust Litigation Achievement by a Young Lawyer (2015)
- American Antitrust Institute Award for Outstanding Antitrust Litigation Achievement in Private Practice (2022)
- Selected as an Attorney of the Year by Minnesota Lawyer (2023)

SHASHI GOWDA

Mr. Gowda is an associate at Gustafson Gluek PLLC. He graduated from the University of Minnesota Law School and clerked for the Honorable Christian Sande of the Fourth Judicial District of Minnesota. Mr. Gowda joined Gustafson Gluek as an associate in July of 2022.



As an associate, Mr. Gowda represents those who are alleging antitrust, consumer protection, constitutional, and products liability violations.

Mr. Gowda graduated from Virginia Commonwealth University with a Bachelor of Science in Economics. He then went on to the University of Minnesota Law School, where he was a staffer and managing editor for the Minnesota Law Review. He was also a certified student attorney with the University of Minnesota Consumer Protection Clinic, where he helped guide clients through consumer protection claims.

Mr. Gowda is an active member of the Minnesota State Bar Association, Federal Bar Association, and Minnesota Asian Pacific American Bar Association.

Mr. Gowda has worked on several cases in which Gustafson Gluek is or had been appointed to leadership positions or been actively involved including:

- Hogan v. Amazon, Inc. (N.D. III.)
- Google Digital Publisher Antitrust Litig. (S.D.N.Y.)
- Reynolds, et al., v. FCA US, LLC (E.D. Mi.)
- In re Recalled Abbott Infant Formula Products Liability Litig. (N.D. III.)
- In re Gerber Co. Heavy Metals Baby Food Litig. (E.D. Va.)

- In re Plum Baby Food Litig. (N.D. Cal.)
- Gorczynski v. Electrolux Home Products, Inc. (D.N.J.)

Additional Background Information

Education:

- Juris Doctor (2020)
 - o University of Minnesota Law School
 - Managing Editor: Minnesota Law Review
- Bachelor of Science (2017)
 Virginia Commonwealth University

Court Admissions:

- Minnesota Supreme Court
- U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota

TONY STAUBER

Mr. Stauber joined Gustafson Gluek as an associate in 2021 after serving as a law clerk to the Honorable Caroline H. Lennon, District Judge, First Judicial District of Minnesota.

As an associate at the Firm, Mr. Stauber represents individuals and businesses who are harmed by illegal collusion and price-fixing schemes between competitors, and violations of state and federal consumer protection statutes. Additionally, Mr. Stauber practices in the area of civil rights, where



he has represented clients in high-profile cases involving police brutality and other constitutional violations. He is passionate about pursuing claims on behalf of clients who have been harmed by institutions of power – whether those are gigantic corporations or law enforcement agencies.

Mr. Stauber is an active member of the Minnesota and Federal bar associations and is using his legal education to improve access to justice for all litigants. Mr. Stauber believes that all individuals and businesses deserve their day in court. Mr. Stauber graduated from the University of Minnesota with a B.A. in English Literature. He graduated magna cum laude from Mitchell Hamline School of Law. While in law school, Mr. Stauber was Vice President of the Mitchell Hamline Hovenkamp Antitrust Society, Membership Coordinator of the Mitchell Hamline Chapter of the American Civil Liberties Union, and a student researcher and member of the Mitchell Hamline Sex Offender Litigation and Research Center. Additionally, Tony was the Production Editor of the Mitchell Hamline Journal of Public Policy and Practice, where he was a published author of an article on the topic of qualified immunity.

Mr. Stauber has been an active member of the National Speech and Debate Association and the Minnesota State High School League as a speech and debate coach for ten years.

gustafsongluek.com

Mr. Stauber has worked on several cases in which Gustafson Gluek is or had been appointed to leadership positions or been actively involved including:

- In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)
- In re Beef DPP Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)
- In re Pork Antitrust Litig. (D. Minn.)
- In re Local TV Advertising Antitrust Litig. (N.D. III.)
- Karsjens v. Jesson (D. Minn.)
- Samaha v. City of Minneapolis (D. Minn.)
- Wolk v. City of Brooklyn Center (D. Minn.)
- Baldwin v. Miracle Ear, Inc. (D. Minn.)
- Brnich v. Siemens (N.D. Ga.)

Additional Background Information

Education:

- Juris Doctor
 - o Mitchell Hamline School of Law
 - magna cum laude
 - Production Editor: Mitchell Hamline Journal of Public Policy and Practice
- Bachelor of Arts

 University of Minnesota

Court Admissions:

- Minnesota Supreme Court
- U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota
- Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals

Recognition:

 Selected by Minnesota Lawyers as an "Up and Coming Attorney of the Year" (2023)